Graphene and Graphene-Based Composites: A Rising Star in Water Purification - A Comprehensive Overview
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Graphene is an interesting two-dimensional carbon sheet possessing single-layered atom thickness that confers unique physical and chemical properties. The pristine graphene sheets have some limited applications in water purification, but the modification of graphene into the graphene composite by the incorporation of some functional groups or nanoparticles on the surface extensively increases its environmental applications. Recently, graphene nanocomposites have found to show very promising applications in all types of water purification. The present review highlights the recent developments in the applications of graphene and graphene-based composites as adsorbent, catalyst, photocatalyst, electrocatalyst, photo-electrocatalyst, and disinfection and desalination agent in comprehensive water purification systems. We primarily focus on the environmental engineering applications of graphene nanocomposites as sorbent materials for the elimination of toxic inorganic (cationic and anionic), organic, and mixed/multiple pollutants, and as catalysts for the degradation of toxic organic contaminants using catalytic oxidation, photocatalytic oxidation, electrocatalytic oxidation, and photoelectrocatalytic oxidation. We have also discussed the use and feasibility of graphene nanocomposites in water disinfection and desalination. Finally, the future challenges and perspectives are discussed.

Introduction

Graphene, which is the most recent material of the carbon group, is considered as one of the greatest smart materials of the 21st century.[1] Graphene and graphene-based composites show numerous potential applications owing to their distinctive two-dimensional assembly and related band structure. Graphene has gained attention of many scientists since its innovation due to its exceptional large surface area (2630 m² g⁻¹),[2] high electrical conductivity at room temperature (106 s cm⁻¹), good mechanical properties (1-1 TPa), fracture strength (125 GPa), breaking strength (42 N m⁻¹), excellent mobility as charge carriers (~20 m² V⁻¹ s⁻¹), superior thermal conductivity (~5000 W m K⁻¹),[3] high carrier density (~10¹² cm⁻²), good optical transmittance (~97.7%),[4] specific magnetism, and chemical stability.[1] Graphene and its composites are widely used in sensors, transistors, electronics, composite materials, photonics, bioengineering, energy production, and storage.[5] Pure graphene is hydrophobic in nature and cannot be dispersed in aqueous solutions,[1] therefore this factor limits its application in water purification. Chemically converted graphene, namely graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide, can be easily synthesized[6] and have shown numerous potential applications in water purification.[1] For example, graphene oxide consists of many oxygen functional groups for example carboxyl, ketone, epoxy, and hydroxyl groups at their basal as well as edge planes. Moreover, graphene oxide is hydrophilic in nature with large negative charge surface that helps effectively remove cationic impurities (heavy metal cations and cationic dyes) by electrostatic interaction.[13, 14] Graphene oxides are capable to act as adsorbent for various heavy metals.[15] Graphene oxides undergo transformations in water over a period of several months, which limits its application in water treatment.[15] Reduced graphene oxide possesses large surface area, but does not possess large negative charge, and shows effective removal of anionic impurities (anionic dyes, As(III), As(V), and Cr(VI)).[14, 15] In order to improve the efficiency of graphene, graphene oxide, and reduced graphene oxide in environmental applications, graphene nanocomposites are prepared by anchoring them with specific functional groups and various nanomaterials.[13, 16] Therefore, the prepared graphene-based nanocomposites show superior water purification applications compared with unmodified graphene, graphene oxide, and reduced graphene oxide.[13, 15, 17] The graphene-based composites show great improvements in their performance toward adsorption capacity, catalytic/photocatalytic/electrocatalytic/photoelectrocatalytic...
activity, and disinfection and desalination ability in water purification applications.\textsuperscript{15–18,19–20} Many reviews have compiled environmental applications of graphene on specific fields.\textsuperscript{15–18,19–20} Majority of the review articles included experiments on the adsorptive/photocatalytic remediation of metal ions or dyes. However, none of them reviewed all applications of graphene-based materials as adsorbents, catalysts, and in water disinfection and desalination. Thus, in this present review an attempt has been made to discuss briefly graphene-based composites and their preparations and properties. Recent advances have been shown in the adsorptive remediation of graphene-based composites as toxic inorganic (cationic and anionic) contaminants, organic contaminants (dyes, micro-organic contaminants, polychlorinated biphenyls, personal-care products, pharmaceuticals, pesticide, herbicides, etc.), and mixed contaminants. We focused on the catalytic application of graphene-based nanocomposites considering the above-mentioned organic contaminants by using catalytic oxidative degradation, photocatalytic oxidative degradation, electrocatalytic oxidative degradation, and photoelectrocatalytic oxidative degradation. In addition, the critically reviewed applications of graphene-based composites included water disinfection against different kinds of disease-causing microorganisms and the feasibility of water desalination (NaCl, KCl, Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, MgSO\textsubscript{4}, MgCl\textsubscript{2}, As(III), As(V), and dyes). Finally, the potential upcoming research work on high-efficient graphene-based nanomaterials and future challenges and perspectives are discussed considering the challenges in near-future water purification applications. We believe that the present review would help the researchers and environmental engineers understand the current needs and challenges to produce highly efficient graphene-based materials for all kinds of water purification in commercial applications.

Preparations and properties of graphene and graphene – based composites

Graphene

In 2004, Novoselov et al.\textsuperscript{21} synthesized very stable monocrystalline graphene films with a thickness of few atoms by mechanical exfoliation from pyrolytic graphite. In 2010, the Nobel Prize in physics was awarded jointly to Geim and Novoselov (University of Manchester, USA) for ground-breaking experiments considering the two-dimensional graphene material. This was the first highly recognized method used for the preparation of high quality graphene by using the top-down approach. Various methods have been reported to prepare graphene. Until now, two primary approaches are applied for the preparation of graphene using different carbon materials: the top-down chemical approach, in which graphitic materials are used as starting materials, and the bottom-up approach, in which graphite materials are used as starting materials. In the top-down chemical approach, in which graphitic materials are used as starting materials. In the bottom-up approach, the graphene is grown on a substrate.
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which small carbon-based molecules are used as precursor for the synthesis of graphene.\(^\text{[22]}\) The methods of Schaafnäutl (1840),\(^\text{[23]}\) Brodie (1859),\(^\text{[24]}\) Staudenmair (1898),\(^\text{[25]}\) and Hummer’s and Offeman (1958)\(^\text{[26]}\) used the top-down approach for the preparation of graphite oxides by the oxidative exfoliation of graphite. Currently, Hummer’s method\(^\text{[26a–e]}\) and the modified Hummer’s method\(^\text{[27]}\) are primarily used as common techniques for the preparation of graphite oxide (GO). In these techniques, graphite is oxidized into GO using strong acids and the produced GO is dispersed in water. The top-down approach is cost-effective but limited at a laboratory scale only.\(^\text{[28]}\) In the top-down approach, graphene sheets are prepared by exfoliation peeling, cleaving, or separation from graphite.\(^\text{[29]}\) Researchers have successfully fabricated a few layers of graphene sheets using the top-down approach.\(^\text{[29]}\)

Marcano et al.\(^\text{[27]}\) reported improved methods for synthesis of graphene oxide. They used Hummer’s methods, modified Hummer’s methods and new improved methods for preparation of graphene oxide. They compared the yield, quality, and advantages of the process. In Hummer’s method, 69 mL of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 3 g of graphite powder (1 wt. equiv.) and NaNO\(_3\) (1.5 g, 0.5 wt. equiv.) were cooled to 0 °C. KMnO\(_4\) (9.0 g, 3 wt. equiv.) was added to the mixture slowly and solution temperature increased to 20 °C. The reaction mixture was heated to 35 °C and stirred for 30 min. Additional water was added to reaction mixture heated to 98 °C for 15 min and the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. Further, additional water and 30% of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (3 mL) were added to the mixture and then the mixture was purified using washing, filtration, drying, etc. The final product was found to be 1.2 g. In the modified Hummer’s methods, 6 wt. equivalent of KMnO\(_4\) was used and same experiment conditions were used. The final product was 4.2 g. They further improved the modified Hummer’s method using 9: 1 H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)/H\(_2\)PO\(_4\) and obtained 5.8 g of the final product. The advantages of this method include no generation of any toxic gas (NO\(_x\)) and the control of temperature. In this method the graphene oxide is more oxidized than the Hummer’s methods and modified Hummer’s method. The improved synthesis of graphene oxide is very important for the large-scale production and applications. In recent years, serval modified Hummer’s method have been developed. For example, Chen et al.\(^\text{[28]}\) reported an improved Hummer’s method for environment friendly synthesis of graphene oxide. They reported synthesis of graphene oxide without using NaNO\(_3\) and obtained the same yield with conventional Hummer’s method. The main advantages of the reported method is does not produce the toxic gas i.e. NO\(_x\) and N\(_2\)O\(_x\), simplifies the wastewater disposal and hence it decreases the cost of production. Frankenberg et al.\(^\text{[29]}\) synthesized graphene oxide using modified Hummer’s methods from graphite powder. They proposed new procedure for exfoliation, in order to improve the yield of modified Hummer’s synthesis. They carried out graphene oxide exfoliation via repeated ion-exchanged water dilution and sonication in different amplitudes. They improved the yield of the graphene oxide up to 70% using their proposed exfoliation method. Kang et al.\(^\text{[24]}\) developed second oxidation step of Hummer’s method. The first oxidation step is as usual with conventional Hummer’s method and the second oxidation were conducted with different time length and temperatures. The second oxidation step influences the graphene oxide size, defects with in the layer, thermal stability, functional group on the surface, etc. Further, Abdolhosseinizadeh et al.\(^\text{[26]}\) reported fast and fully-scalable one pot synthesis of reduced graphene oxide. They reported that simultaneous oxidation as well as exfoliation, improved the yield of few layer of graphene oxides and they reduced the graphene oxide with ascorbic acid (eco-friendly reducing agents). The developed protocols were highly suitable for large scale production of graphene oxide.

Generally, numerous mechanical processes have been reported for producing the high-quality defect-free graphene. The common methods of the preparation are the exfoliation of graphite, electrochemical exfoliation, thermal exfoliation, sonication, acid dissolution of graphite, chemical reduction of GO, and few more. Many researchers have extensively reviewed the synthesis of graphene using various methods, which provide a detailed understanding of the top-down approach.\(^\text{[19,22,30]}\) The top-down method is extensively used for the preparation of graphene at laboratory level with high-quality properties and low yield. The main disadvantage of the top-down approach is that graphene structures contain a vast number of defects on the graphene surface and it uses hazardous and toxic reagents, which limits the practical utilization of prepared graphene in several research fields.\(^\text{[31]}\)

In the bottom-up approach, a large number of small molecules (hydrocarbons and silicon carbides) are decomposed into graphitic materials in the presence of catalyzed metal surfaces. The SiC substrate is generally used for epitaxial growth of graphene and the decomposition of SiC produces graphene layers.\(^\text{[22]}\) For example, graphene can be prepared using an epitaxial growth method by heating silicon carbide.\(^\text{[32]}\) Epitaxial graphene is synthesized by thermal decomposition of SiC using vacuum graphitization technique.\(^\text{[33]}\) The thermal decomposition of the SiC forms millimeter-sized constant graphene planes after the vaporization of silicon.\(^\text{[34]}\) The chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process is used to prepare graphene on a metal substrate under ultrahigh vacuum and at high temperatures. In the CVD method, a gaseous hydrocarbon material is heated (1073 K) and then graphene is deposited on the metal surface. The control and stability in the graphene scale are potentially high in the CVD process.\(^\text{[35]}\) The other standard techniques, such as arc discharge, chemical conversion of CO reduction, plasma discharge etching of graphite, carbon nanotube unzipping, chemical reduction of graphene oxides, and self-organization of surfactants, have been previously described for the preparation of graphene and their derivatives.\(^\text{[16,22,36]}\) The bottom-up approach has several advantages, such as graphene film is free from impurities, contains less defects, graphene-controlled initiation, and the growth of the product, which is tailored through the precise choice of the initial substrate. The disadvantages of this approach are as follows: difficult for bulk production, high-temperature requirement, use of sophisticated instruments, and expensive materials.\(^\text{[21,33]}\) However, the bottom-up approach is widely preferred process for the prepa-
ration of graphene due to control of atomic size, shape, composition, stability, and edge structure.\(^{[22]}\)

Pristine graphene is hydrophobic in nature and very hard to disperse in aqueous solution to remove the contaminant.\(^{[36]}\) In order to improve the dispersibility of graphene, graphene surface is functionalized through chemical modification. The π-π interaction between organic pollutant and graphene plays a vital role in the water treatment. The aggregation of the graphene in aqueous solution is the major drawbacks in the water treatment. To avoid aggregation of the graphene sheets, oxygen groups are introduced by oxidation. Oxygen groups on graphene layers reduce the aggregation and improve the removal capacity of the pollutant. Graphene oxide is conjugated in the graphene plane and possesses many functional groups (epoxide, carboxyl, hydroxyl, carbonyl, etc.) on the surface.\(^{[36]}\) These oxygen functional groups present on the graphene oxide surface act as an adsorbent and strongly interact with metal ions, cationic dye, and pharmaceuticals in aqueous solutions. Further, the reduction of graphene oxide is typically carried out by using reducing agents such as hydrazine, sodium borohydride, vitamin C, etc.\(^{[37-40]}\) The reduced graphene oxide possesses a large surface area, lacks of negative charge, and shows a high water cleanup efficacy toward anionic dyes, metal anions, and other contaminants.\(^{[16]}\) Pristine graphene, graphene oxide, and reduced graphene oxide exhibit moderate performance in the water treatment.\(^{[36,14]}\) Therefore, they are modified into various forms, such as nanocomposites, nanoparticles, membranes, etc., and used as adsorbents, catalysts, photocatalysts, photoelectrocatalysts, disinfectants, and in desalination in water treatment.

Graphene – based composites

Polymer – graphene composites

Generally, the compatibility of graphene and organic polymers is very poor and it is difficult to prepare homogeneous composites.\(^{[41]}\) In contrast, graphene oxides (GO) are more compatible with organic polymers. In order to synthesize functionalized graphene sheets, at first GO is reduced and then functionalized with various organic polymers for various applications. Song et al.\(^{[42]}\) synthesized tea polyphenols functionalized graphene nanosheets by using eco-friendly tea polyphenols as a reducing and functionalizing reagent. The advantage of this method is that tea polyphenols are utilized as a green reducing agent, additional functionalizing reagents are not necessary for functionalization of graphene oxide and thus it is environmental friendly, simple, and inexpensive. In this method, graphene oxide is synthesized from graphene using an ultrasonic exfoliation method. First, graphite oxide is dispersed in water and sonicated about 1 h for thorough exfoliation, and after that the graphite oxide dispersion is centrifuged in order to remove any nonexfoliated material. Finally the exfoliated GO sheets are collected from the supernatant liquid. In the GO sheet dispersion, an aqueous solution of tea polyphenol powder is added and stirred, and then sonicated. Furthermore, the solution is moved to a stainless-steel autoclave and temperature kept at 80 °C for 8 h. The products of the reaction are washed with water through centrifugation and then dialyzed to remove unreacted tea polyphenols and other oxidation impurities. The synthesized tea polyphenol-graphene oxide has predominant single sheets, very stable, high surface area, and shows very good dispersibility in water. The average thickness of the synthesized GO nanosheets was 1.2 nm and the thickness of the tea polyphenol-graphene oxide sheets was about 1.7-2.2 nm. The increase in the thickness could be attributed to the functionalization of tea polyphenols/oxidized polyphenol on both sides of the graphene sheets.

Zhang et al.\(^{[42]}\) made water-soluble magnetic polyacrylic acid/graphene oxide/Fe₃O₄ composites (PAA/GO/Fe₃O₄). In this method, azide precursor (2-(Phosphonooxy)ethyl 2-azido-2-methylpropanoate) is added to Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in order to produce the azide-modified maghemite nanoparticles. Then, propargyl-amine-modified GO is prepared as follows. GO is suspended in SOCl₂ and agitated at 65 °C for 1 day. After that unreacted SOCl₂ is removed, and then propargyl amine CHCl₃ and anhydrous triethanolamine are added dropwise and the mixture stirred for 1 h at 0 °C and then for 24 h at room temperature. The solid product is isolated using centrifugation and the obtained solid product again dispersed using THF and then product separated through further centrifugation and dispersed in H₂O. Graphene oxide/Fe₃O₄ (GO/Fe₃O₄) composites are prepared by a CuSO₄ catalyzed azide alkyne cycloaddition reaction. Azide-modified Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles are mixed with a solvent (4:1 DMSO and H₂O) and sonicated. Copper sulfate and sodium ascorbate are mixed to the solution and stirred. Furthermore, propargyl-amine-modified GO is also mixed and stirred overnight. The final material is separated using magnet and completely washed with phosphate buffer solution. PAA/GO/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites are prepared by GO/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites and polyacrylic acid using carbodiimide under sonication at 0 °C and then the final product is separated by a permanent magnet and thoroughly washed with phosphate buffer solution. PAA/GO/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites exhibit good dispersibility in water. The obtained thickness of GO ranges from 1.0 to 2.0 nm and the height of the GO nanosheet is ~1.6 nm. The saturated magnetization of PAA/GO/Fe₃O₄ GO/Fe₃O₄ and Fe₃O₄ is 30, 43, and 62 emu g⁻¹, respectively. Fe₃O₄/GO composites show less saturated magnetization than Fe₃O₄ and PAA/GO/Fe₃O₄ because of a reduction in the quantity of the Fe₃O₄ in the composites. Magnetic β-cyclodextrin/graphene oxide nanocomposite (MCGN) was synthesized by Fan et al.\(^{[43]}\) At first, Fe₃O₄ is mixed with an aqueous solution of NH₄₂β-cyclodextrin and ammonia solution. Further, Fe₃O₄ and NH₄₂β-cyclodextrin are cross-linked with glutaraldehyde at 50 °C for 0.5 h. Homogeneous graphene oxide dispersion is added to this mixture and shaken for a few minutes at 60 °C and further stirred for 3 h and 30 min. Then, the final material is recovered by magnet and then dried. The carboxyl groups present in the GO react with the -NH₂ groups of NH₄₂β-cyclodextrin and a strong bond is formed between magnetic cyclodextrin and GO. The obtained MCGN shows magnetic saturation of 50.1 emu g⁻¹ and is considered an environment-friendly biosorbent for water treatment applications. Similarly, Fan et al.\(^{[44]}\) also synthesized water-
disperisible magnetic chitosan/graphene oxide composites by using an environment-friendly biosorbent. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{[45]} made magnetic polyethylenimine-modified magnetic mesoporous silica composites. The amine groups present in the polyethylenimide interact with the carboxyl groups on GO sheets via chemical conjugation. The average diameter of the microspheres is 260 nm and the saturation magnetization is found to be 7.5 emu g\textsuperscript{−1}.

Hu et al.\textsuperscript{[46]} produced GO membrane by the layer-by-layer deposition method from GO nanosheets, and then cross-linked it with 1,3,5-benzenetricarbonyltrichloride on a polysulfone support. The obtained height of GO nanosheets was found to be 1–2 nm, showing that the GO nanosheets contain both single and double layers. All these prepared graphene-based polymer composites show better application than the individual graphene and polymers. Their detailed applications in water treatment are explained in the next section. Numerous graphene-based polymer nanocomposites, such as polystyrene/graphene, polyaniline/graphene, Nafion/graphene, polypyrrole/graphene, polypyrrole/graphene, reduced graphene oxide/poly(methylmethacrylate), etc., have been reported.\textsuperscript{[47,48]} These graphene-based polymer nanocomposites are primarily used in the drug, gene delivery, cancer therapy, bioimaging, actuators, fuel cells/capacitors, and chemical and biological sensors.\textsuperscript{[14,30,46]}

**Metal/metal oxide – graphene composites**

Generally, graphene acts as a good electron acceptor as well as possess transport properties.\textsuperscript{[4,10]} Metal/metal oxide-graphene composites absorb light on illumination and cause the photoexcitation of electron. The excited electrons move from the valence band to the conduction band by an electronic excitation between graphene materials and metal oxide. This process initiates the electron-hole pair charge separation between metal oxide and graphene. Graphene is a potential electron acceptor and possesses two-dimensional π-conjugation structures that effectively suppress the recombination of photogenerated e\textsuperscript{−}h\textsuperscript{+} pairs.\textsuperscript{[49]} The photoexcited e\textsuperscript{−} in the conduction band travels on the surface of metal oxide/graphene composite and yields a huge volume of very reactive oxyradicals, e.g., superoxide radicals (O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{·−}) and hydroxyl radicals (‘OH). The produced radicals travel on the surface of metal oxide–graphene composites. These oxyradicals readily degrade the organic pollutants, microorganisms, etc., and convert them into harmlessness substances (CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O).\textsuperscript{[18,49]} Graphene, reduced graphene oxide, and graphene oxide were modified using several metal/metal oxides, for example ZnO, TiO\textsubscript{2}, MnO\textsubscript{2}, MoO\textsubscript{3}, Ag, PO\textsubscript{4}, COFe\textsubscript{2}, BiVO\textsubscript{4}, Bi\textsubscript{2}FeO\textsubscript{4}, CuO/TiO\textsubscript{2}, Ag/ZnO, Ag/AgPO\textsubscript{4}, BiO\textsubscript{3}, BiOBr,WO\textsubscript{3}, CuO, ZnFeO\textsubscript{2}, La/TiO\textsubscript{2}, CdSe/TiO\textsubscript{2}, ZnFeO\textsubscript{2}, ZnO/ZnFeO\textsubscript{2}, La\textsubscript{2}TiO\textsubscript{3}, Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/ZnO, CuO/SnO\textsubscript{2}, Bi\textsubscript{2}NbO\textsubscript{6}, ZnFeO\textsubscript{2}, SnO, ZnFeO\textsubscript{2}, CuFeO\textsubscript{2}, ZnWO\textsubscript{4}, Na/TiO\textsubscript{2}, W\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, BaCrO\textsubscript{4}, α-SnWO\textsubscript{4}, Bi\textsubscript{2}WO\textsubscript{4}, Ta\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, and ZnFeO\textsubscript{2}, which were employed as photocatalysts for toxic organic pollutants degradation, chemical reactions, fuel cells, etc.\textsuperscript{[18,49–52]} The advantage of using metal (Ag, La, Fe, etc.) in the graphene/metal oxide composites is to increase the photocatalytic activity of the composites by prolonging the lifetime of charged radicals and capturing the photoexcited electrons and to further reduce the recombination of produced charged radical ions.\textsuperscript{[18] Among the metal oxides, ZnO and TiO\textsubscript{2} are considered the most extensively used UV-light-driven photocatalysts due to their too large band gap of 3–3.32 eV, and they utilize solar energy of <5% only.\textsuperscript{[53,54]} Whereas ZnFeO\textsubscript{2} (band gap = 1.9 eV),\textsuperscript{[55,56]} and BiVO\textsubscript{4} (band gap = 2.2 eV) act as an excellent visible light active photocatalyst.\textsuperscript{[57]} Depending on the band gap of metal/metal oxide in the graphene composite, they can be utilized as UV/visible light induced photocatalysts. For example, Wang et al.\textsuperscript{[58]} synthesized reduced graphene oxide–TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposite, which showed excellent photocatalytic activity. At first, graphene oxide is prepared from graphite powder by Hummer’s oxidation process. Then, RGO–TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites are synthesized using various weight ratios (1:100, 1:40, 1:20, 1:10, and 1:3) of graphene oxide and TiO\textsubscript{2} (commercial P25) using a hydrothermal reaction and then heated to 400 °C for 2 h under argon gas. In the hydrothermal reaction, the GO is reduced to graphene and simultaneously TiO\textsubscript{2} is deposited onto the graphene layers. GO synthesized in this way was characterized by AFM using the tapping mode; and the images showed sheets of size ~5 nm, and the thickness <6.9 nm indicating the formation of a few layers of GO.

Ameen et al.\textsuperscript{[59]} made ZnO-graphene oxide nanohybrid and effectively used it as a photocatalyst. In this process, GO is dissolved in water. Aqueous solutions of hydrazine monohydrate and zinc nitrate hexahydrate are added to the GO dispersion under stirring. Then, the NH\textsubscript{3} aqueous solution is also mixed and stirred for 30 min. Finally, the reaction mixture is moved to a flask and placed in an oven at 90 °C for 12 h. After 12 h, the produced ZnO-GO nanocomposite is centrifuged and thoroughly washed with water and then dried.

Ag/ZnO/chemically converted graphene (CCG) nanocomposites were synthesized by Yoo et al.\textsuperscript{[37]} using solution-based method. In this method, graphene oxide is prepared from graphite powder by Hummer’s oxidation process, further graphene oxide is reduced using hydrazine monohydrate, and finally the chemically converted graphene (CCG), i.e., reduced graphene oxide, is obtained. Further, CCG dispersion is sprayed onto a quartz plate and the CCG film is obtained. The CCG film is soaked with zinc acetate dehydrate in an ethanol solution. The CCG film covered by zinc acetate thin layer is annealed at 350 °C in oxygen atmosphere for 30 min and ZnO nanorods are obtained. Further, the CCG film is treated with zinc nitrate hexahydrate and methanamine in a hot oven at 90 °C for 2 h. The produced Ag nanoparticles are spray coated with ZnO/CCG and then annealed at 150 °C for the complete removal of the solvent. The composites showed ZnO nanorods with Ag nanoparticles of size 10–20 nm. Ag/ZnO/CCG showed very high photodegradation activity compared with ZnO/CCG and Ag/ ZnO because the excited electrons are first moved to graphene and then transferred to Ag attached to graphene.

Various methods, such as hydrothermal/solvothermal method, sol-gel method, solution mixing method, self-assembly, and microwave irradiation, have been reported for the preparation of metal/metal oxide-graphene composites.\textsuperscript{[18,49,51–59]} Metal/metal oxide-graphene composites were widely used in water split-
Nanoparticle – graphene composites

Nanoparticle-graphene composites show enhanced properties due to the synergistic effect between graphene materials and the firmly fixed nanoparticles.\[63–64\] The existence of oxygen groups and defects on the surface of GO and rGO provide them an excellent platform for the attachment of nano-assemblies. In general, nanoparticles of Ag, Au, Pt, ZnO, CdS, TiO\(_2\), and NiO are firmly fixed on GO/rGO surfaces for various applications.\[31,63–69\] The nanoparticle-graphene composites are synthesized by reagent reduction, chemical method, microwave irradiation, self-catalysis reduction, solution synthesis, and electrochemical deposition.\[63–69\]

Wang et al.\[66\] made carbon nanoparticle/graphene composites using the chemical reduction method. At first, the graphene oxide sheets are widely dispersed in water and mixed with the nanocarbons. The mixture is agitated for about 30 min and then sonicated for 60 min. Then, the hydrazine solution is poured to the mixture and heat treated at 100°C for 24 h. Further, the mixture is filtered and washed with water and dried in a vacuum oven at 60°C for 24 h. The functionalized carbon nanoparticles are firmly fixed on graphene surfaces. The carbon nanoparticles are neatly packed between graphene layers and appear as porous layer structure with a specific surface area of 1256 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). The size of the functionalized nanocarbon particles was found to be in the range of 5–50 nm.

Du et al.\[87\] synthesized metal nanoparticle/graphene hydrogel (MNP@GHG) composites using nickel foam as substrate via electroleless deposition method. Initially, the reduced graphene hydrogel is deposited on the porous nickel foam substrate. Then, the graphene-hydrogel-coated nickel foam is immersed in the 3D reduced graphene oxide hydrogels by a galvanic cell reaction and MNP@GHG composites are produced. In this method, Au, Pt, Pd, and Cu nanoparticles are all successfully deposited on graphene hydrogels.

Graphene/Au nanoparticle composites were prepared by Zhuo et al.\[86\] using a self-catalysis reduction method. Gold nanoparticle/graphene composites were fabricated by Hong et al.\[88\] using a self-assembly method. Wang et al.\[89\] synthesized Ni nanocrystals of GO/rGO by a hydrothermal reaction. Photocatalysis, photovoltaic devices, sensors, supercapacitors, clean energy applications, and so on.\[61–69\]

Ceramic – graphene composites

Graphene possesses an outstanding mechanical property and is widely used as a good reinforcement material in ceramic composites.\[76\] Ceramics are widely used in high-temperature applications but have poor toughness. Graphene is used to enhance the toughness of bulk ceramics materials. It is also used as nanofiller in ceramic composite materials owing to its exceptional large surface area, fracture toughness, and extraordinary mechanical, electrical, and thermal properties. Several studies proved that ceramic-based matrix incorporated graphene fillers have significantly better mechanical properties and electrical and thermal conductivities.\[70\] Ceramic-graphene composites are widely used in different applications, such as heat transfer and thermal energy storage, oxygen reduction, dielectric behavior, reinforced sensors, solar cells as electrode materials, Li-ion batteries, as catalysts and in water treatments.\[31,70\] Currently, ceramic-graphene composites are mainly prepared using the techniques such as powder processing, colloidal processing, sol-gel processing, pressure chemical vapor deposition, in situ synthesis, solvothermal, spark plasma sintering, and the dip-coating method.\[70–77\]

Zhou et al.\[71\] made highly conductive porous graphene/ceramic composites using ambient pressure chemical vapor deposition. They formed 3D graphene architecture on aluminum oxide and porous Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) ceramics using the heat transfer and thermal energy storage. The formation of graphene was based on the carbothermic reduction on Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) surface, which initiated the nucleation and growth of graphene.

Walker et al.\[72\] fabricated graphene platelets on silicon nitride ceramics and densified them at ~1650°C using a spark plasma sintering method. The platelets on average contain ~3-4 graphene sheets with a thickness of less than 2 nm. The grain size is found to be ~500 nm, and the grains are uniform throughout the fracture surfaces. The addition of 1.5 vol% graphene in the silicon nitride ceramics increases toughness to about 235%. Similarly, Fernández-García et al. synthesized Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/graphene and BaTiO\(_3\)/graphene composites by a spark plasma sintering method and studied their dielectric properties.

Lou et al.\[73\] prepared graphene oxide/ceramic composite membrane on a silane-modified ceramic support using a dip-coating method. In this approach, an Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) support (pore size average ~110 nm and porosity about ~35%) is immersed in a glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane/ethanol solution for 30 min at 40°C. Then, the silane-modified Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) support is heated for 4 h at 110°C. The silane-modified ceramic support is dip-coated with graphene oxide solution. The thickness of graphene oxide nanosheets is 0.7-1.5 nm and the lateral size is 200–1000 nm. The GO/ceramic composite membrane is crack free and exhibits selectivity for the pervaporation of ethanol/water mixtures.

Wu et al.\[74\] synthesized graphene oxide/ZrB\(_2\) nanocomposite; and then Pt nanoparticles were deposited on reduced graphene oxide/ZrB\(_2\) composite using a simple solvo-thermal method. RGO/ZrB\(_2\) nanocomposite supported Pt nanoparticles show very high catalytic activity as compared with graphene oxide/ZrB\(_2\). Nanocoactive ZrB\(_2\) ceramic is wedged between the Pt nanoparticle-supported graphene sheets that act as a highly efficient catalyst for oxygen reduction.

Liu et al.\[75\] studied the effect of graphene platelet on toughening of ZrO\(_2\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) composites. Various ratios of ceramic materials (ZrO\(_2\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)) with graphene platelet were sintered at various temperatures (1450-1650°C) via a spark plasma sintering method. Graphene platelets (thickness of
6–8 nm and level dimensions of 15–25 nm) were mixed well with the ceramic materials; and even the material was found to be intact after sintering at very high temperature. Ramirez et al.\[99\] made conducting graphene/silicon nitride (Si3N4) composites using spark plasma sintering. The produced graphene/ Si3N4 composites exhibited good electrical conductivity bestowed by the existence of reduced graphene.

Fan et al.\[97\] carried out the synthesis of graphene nanosheet/Al2O3 composites by using spark plasma sintering technique. The prepared material showed much improved electrical properties compared with most of the carbon nanotube/Al2O3 composites. Watcharotone et al.\[74\] synthesized graphene-silica composite thin films using a sol-gel method and used them as transparent conductors. Ceramic-graphene composites show limited applications in water treatment. Porwal et al.\[76\] reviewed the synthesis and properties of graphene based ceramic composites in detail. A limited research work has focused on graphene ceramic matrix composites as compared with polymer composites.

Role of graphene and graphene – based materials in the water treatment applications

Application of graphene and graphene – based materials as an adsorbent

Adsorption of inorganic (cationic and anionic) contaminants

Many kinds of graphene-based materials were used for the removal of toxic inorganic (cationic and anionic) pollutants from aqueous media. Graphene-based materials have great potential to adsorb variety of inorganic contaminants via electrostatic attraction, various π-interactions, and functional groups present in the graphene surfaces. This section, overview the various graphene-based materials reported for the removal of cationic and anionic heavy metal pollutants. Graphene-based materials were classified based on preparation method, surface area, thickness, metal ions removed, sorption method, pH, contact time, temperature, adsorption capacity (mg/g) and regeneration/reusability were given in the supporting information Table S1.\[36, 38, 42–44, 79–97\]

Huang et al. fabricated graphene nanosheets (GNSs) from graphite oxide using an exfoliation process.\[79\] The sorption of Pb(II) ions from aqueous solutions on pristine GNSs and thermally modified GNSs was studied. The adsorption of lead ions was better enhanced by heat treatment than pristine GNSs. They concluded that the heat treatment of graphene favors adsorption through lead ions (Lewis acid), which increases the Lewis basicity and electrostatic attraction of graphene. The drawback of the prepared graphene nanosheets is that it requires ultrasonication for a good dispersion of graphene in metal solutions and after sorption it also requires filtration through microsyringe to separate the GNS/solution mixture. Generally, graphene-based sorbents possess hydrophobicity, which, to some extent, restricts the sorption efficiency of heavy metal ions in water treatment. To avoid such bottleneck, Song et al.\[80\] fabricated stable and water-dispersible polyphenols functionalized graphene nanosheets. The systematic preparation of tea polyphenols functionalized graphene nanosheets is shown in Figure 1a. The advantages of tea polyphenols include water-solubility, low toxicity, biodegradability, and green reagent. Graphene nanosheets functionalized by tea polyphenols show very good water dispersibility and superior Pb(II) sorption capacity (1126 mg/g) and Pb(II) selectivity to Cu(II), Ni(II), Cr(III), Co(II), and Cd(II) in aqueous solution. The regeneration and reusability of this adsorbent are also very efficient with 0.1 M HCl and the Pb(II) desorption capacity was found to be 85–90%. The limitation of this process is that it requires micron level filtration to separate sorbents and metal solutions. Fan et al.\[81\] synthesized a magnetic NH2-β-cyclodextrin/graphene oxide nanocomposite (MCGN) using the reaction between amine groups of magnetic NH2-β-cyclodextrin and the carboxyl groups of GO. The preparation of MCGN and their Cr(IV) removal mechanism are shown in Figure 1b and c. The prepared MCGN composite possesses 50.13 emu/g of saturation magnetization, which allows a rapid recovery of the MCGN from aqueous solution. The MCGN exhibited quick sorption of Cr(VI) from waste solutions with the sorption capacity of 120 mg/g. The MCGN was reused by desorbing from Cr (VI) sorbed MCGN using aqueous NaOH.

Zhao et al.\[82\] made graphene oxide nanosheets and studied their Cd(II) and Co(II) adsorption from aqueous solutions. They prepared graphene oxide nanosheets showing sorption efficiencies of 106.3 mg/g for Cd(II) and 68.2 mg/g for Co(II). The general heavy metal removal sorption mechanism is shown in Figure 1d. After the metal adsorption experiments, graphene oxide nanosheets were recovered by membrane filters. In order to avoid the membrane filters to separate adsorbent/aqueous solutions and for easy separation of adsorbent/aqueous solution, the superparamagnetic reduced GO-Fe3O4, reduced GO-Fe(0)-Fe3O4, and reduced GO-Fe(0) composites were prepared. Among these, the prepared reduced GO-Fe(0)-Fe3O4 showed a high As(III) sorption capacity of 44 mg/g, whereas the reduced GO-Fe3O4 and GO-Fe(0) showed capacities of 37 mg/g and 21 mg/g, respectively. The sorption efficiency of reduced GO-Fe(0)-Fe3O4 composites was determined for toxic heavy metal elements such as Cr(VI), Pb(II), Cd(II), Hg(III), and arsenic-contaminated natural water. The results showed that the prepared reduced GO-Fe(0)-Fe3O4 adsorbed 31.2 mg/g of Cr(VI), 22 mg/g of Hg(II), 19.2 mg/g of Pb(II), and 1.91 mg/g of Cd(II). The reduced GO-Fe(0)-Fe3O4 composite showed > 90% of As sorption, which indicates that the reduced GO-Fe(0)-Fe3O4 can be realistically utilized for the purification of drinking water. The initial arsenic concentration ranges between 0.98 and 4.82 ppb for real-field arsenic-contaminated natural water. Because the prepared composites are superparamagnetic, they are easily separated from the solutions with a magnet. Similarly, Zhang et al.\[42\] prepared polyacrylic acid modified graphene oxide/Fe3O4 (GO/Fe3O4) composites. The prepared magnetic PAA/GOF3O4 nanocomposites showed a sorption capacity of 0.75, 0.85, 0.9, and 0.85 mg/g for Cd(II), Cu(II), and Pb(II), respectively.

Madadrang et al.\[82\] synthesized graphene oxide, N-(trime-thoxyisilylpropyl) ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid linked graphene oxide (EDTA-GO) and reduced EDTA-GO. They studied it for the sorption of Pb(II) from water, and reported that EDTA-GO showed a high Pb(II) sorption capacity of 476 mg/g, GO
showed 367 mg/g for Pb(II), whereas reduced EDTA-GO showed only 228 mg/g. The schematic diagram of EDTA-GO and its removal of metal ions are shown in Figure 2a. In addition, EDTA-GO can be regenerated and reused for a continuous process. Cong et al.\(^{83}\) produced graphene hydrogels via the reduction of graphene oxide. They incorporated α-FeOOH nano-rods onto graphene sheets and assembled macroscopic graphene monoliths. The macroscopic 3D graphene/α-FeOOH hydrogel showed maximum adsorption capacities of 373.8 mg/g and 139.2 for Pb(II), and Cr(VI) respectively. The high adsorption capacity of the 3D graphene/α-FeOOH hydrogels was obtained by the ion exchange, electrostatic attraction, and surface complexion between α-FeOOH and heavy metal ions and also by the oxygen functional groups of the graphene and metal ions. Water-dispersible magnetite-reduced graphene oxide composites were synthesized and used for the removal of As(V) and As(III) by Chandra et al.\(^{38}\) They synthesized two different Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) reduced graphene oxide (RGO) composites with low and high concentrations of magnetite M-RGO 1 and M-RGO 2, respectively. The As(V) and As(III) sorption capacity of M-RGO was 5.27, and 10.20 mg/g, respectively, whereas M-RGO2 showed the sorption capacity of 5.83 and 13.10 mg/g for As(V) and As(III), respectively. The magnetite M-RGO composites are superparamagnetic at room temperature and therefore easily separated using magnets. The composites showed an excellent and complete removal of As(III) and As(V) (< 99.9%) within 1 ppb. Therefore, M-RGO was practically used for arsenic separation from water. Liu et al.\(^{84}\) synthesized a magnetite/graphene oxide (M/GO) composite and studied the sorption of Co(II). The sorption capacity of Co(II) was found to be 12.98 mg/g. M/GO-adsorbed Co(II) was quickly recovered from a solution using external magnets. The Co(II) sorption of M/GO composite is influenced by foreign ions such as NO\(_3^−\), ClO\(_4^−\), Cl\(^−\), K\(^+\), Na\(^+\), and Mg\(^{2+}\). For the removal of Cr(VI), Ma et al.\(^{85}\) synthesized ethylenediamine-reduced graphene oxide (ED-RGO). The schematic of the preparation of ED-RGO is shown in Figure 2b. They used ED-RGO sorption of Cr(VI) from aqueous solution at low pH. ED-RGO effectively reduced highly toxic Cr(VI) to 300 times less toxic Cr(III) by an electrostatic attraction and coupled reduction mechanism through pronated amine groups of ED-RGO and carboxylic electron donors of ED-RGO. The proposed Cr(VI) sorption mechanism of ED-RGO is shown in Figure 2c. ED-RGO showed a sorption capacity of 4.90 mg/g for Cr(VI). The present method is quite different from the previously reported graphene-based sorbent for Cr(VI).

Vasudevan et al.\(^{95, 96}\) synthesized graphene and used it for the removal of phosphate and perchlorate. The prepared graphene showed phosphate adsorption capacity of 89.37 mg/g and perchlorate adsorption capacity of 0.024 mg/g. The results showed that graphene is an excellent adsorbent for removal of PO\(_4^{3−}\) and ClO\(_4^−\) from aqueous solution. Kumar et al.\(^{97a}\) prepared l-cystine-functionalized graphene oxide and used for the sorption of Hg(II). L-Cystine-functionalized GO showed 79.36 mg/g of Hg(II) sorption and unmodified graphite showed

Figure 1. a) The systematic preparation of tea polyphenols functionalized graphene nanosheets. Reproduced with permission.\(^{36}\) Copyright 2012, Wiley. b) and c) Preparation of MCGN and their Cr(IV) removal mechanism. Reproduced with permission.\(^{43}\) Copyright 2012, Royal Society of Chemistry. d) General heavy metal removal sorption mechanism of graphene oxide nanosheets. Reproduced with permission.\(^{80}\) Copyright 2011, American Chemical Society.
only 12.4 mg/g of Hg(II) sorption. The sorbent showed a good sorption capacity even in the existence of various other metal cations (Cd$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Se$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, and Au$^+$) and other metal anions (PO$\_4^{3-}$, NO$\_3^-$, SO$\_4^{2-}$, and Cl$^-$). The adsorbent was reused for four cycles of adsorption/desorption and the adsorbent showed the same sorption capacity even after four cycles. Similarly, Rajesh et al. prepared many graphene based sorbents for the adsorption of fluoride and chromium from aqueous solution.

### Adsorption of organic pollutants

Graphene-based materials act as an excellent adsorbent material for the removal of various organic dyes, pharmaceutical drugs, toxic chemicals, pesticides, solvents, and oils (vegetable oil, paraffin oil, gasoline etc.). The major mechanisms of removal of organic pollutants on the graphene-based materials were π-π interaction, anion-π interaction and cation-π interaction and functional group interactions. In this section, we briefly outlined the most recent graphene-based materials utilised for organic pollutants.

The summary of various graphene-based materials used for the sorption of various organic pollutants is shown in supporting information Table S2. Fan et al. fabricated magnetic chitosan and graphene oxide (MCGO) composite for the sorption of anionic dye methyl blue from aqueous solution. The fabrication of MCGO and their methylene blue removal mechanism is shown in Figure 3a. The maximum methyl blue sorption ability was found to be 95.16 mg/g for MCGO, 43.5 mg/g for graphene oxide, 60.4 mg/g for magnetic chitosan, and 46.23 mg/g for natural chitosan membranes. At acidic pH, anionic dye methyl blue was adsorbed via protonated amino groups of MCGO by ionic interactions. The dye desorption values of H$_2$O, HCl, and NaOH were 1.4, 5.1, and 95.0%, respectively. The advantages of MCGO include stability, magnetic separation, and easy regeneration, and its high methyl blue dye sorption efficiency is about 90–80% even after five cycles.

Ramesha et al. synthesized graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) for the sorption of cationic dyes, i.e.,
methyl violet, rhodamine B, methylene blue, and Orange G (anionic dye) from aqueous media. They reported that graphene oxide contains many oxygen functional groups (epoxy, carboxyl, hydroxyl groups, and ketone) and it is hydrophilic in nature with a large negatively charged surface that helps in the effective adsorption of cationic dyes (methylene blue (17.3 mg/g), methyl violet (2.47 mg/g), rhodamine B (1.24 mg/g)). Graphene oxide showed negligible adsorption capacity for Orange G (anionic dye). In contrast, the reduced graphene oxide with a large surface area and less negatively charged surface removed 95% of Orange G (5.98 mg/g) and about 50% of cationic dyes.

The schematics of probable interaction of studied dye with graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide are shown in Figure 3b. After the adsorption, the sorbent was precipitated and separated by centrifugal force. Wang et al.[99] made magnetic graphene nanocomposites (G/Fe$_3$O$_4$) for the removal of fuchsine (cationic dye). The dye sorption was rapid and achieved the maximum dye sorption within 30 min with a sorption efficiency of 89.4 mg/g. The picture of fuchsine dye solution, after sorption with G/Fe$_3$O$_4$ and their magnetic separation of G/Fe$_3$O$_4$ are shown in Figure 3c. The G/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite was a highly efficient adsorbent for fuchsine and could easily be desorbed by using acidic ethanol. The sorbent was recycled easily and used continuously and separated using the magnetic field separation.

Graphene/iron oxide hydrogels were prepared by Cong et al.[83] by using reduction of graphene oxide. The schematic preparations of graphene/α-FeOOH and graphene/Fe$_3$O$_4$ hydrogels are shown in Figure 4a. Graphene/α-FeOOH hydrogels showed maximum of 92% adsorption capacity of gasoline owing to its strong well interconnected architecture and good porous nature. The Graphene/α-FeOOH hydrogels also showed good adsorption capacity towards many nonpolar organic solvents and oils, namely, cyclohexane, toluene, paraffin oil vegetable oil, and phenoxin. The w/w adsorption capacity of the hydrogels was about 27 times. The images of gasoline adsorption and its recycling are shown in Figure 4b. The adsorption performance of graphene/α-FeOOH hydrogels up to 8 cycles are shown in Figure 4c. The adsorption capacity towards many nonpolar organic solvents and oils are shown in Figure 4d. The main advantages of oil adsorbed graphene/α-FeOOH hydrogels is that when burn they are converted into α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (hematite) (Figure 4e). The extraordinary oil adsorption capacity of graphene hydrogels could be attributed to the hydrophobic nature of hydrogels and π–π stacking of the prepared hydrogels. Another advantage of the hydrogels is that they can easily be separated using external magnets and reused for many cycles.

Graphene nanosheet (GNS)/magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) composites (GNS/Fe$_3$O$_4$) were prepared by Ai et al.[100] using a solvothermal process. The schematic preparation of GNS/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composites and their methylene blue adsorption are shown in Figure 5a. After dye sorption the magnetic GNS/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composite was separated with an external magnet and its maximum monolayer adsorption ability was 43.82 mg/g. The composite showed good adsorption capacity for methylene blue in five successive cycles of desorption-adsorption. Similarly, many researchers...
synthesized various graphene composites that were prepared by the removal of methylene blue and showed a very high dye sorption capacity.\(^{[101–103]}\)

Li et al.\(^{[104a]}\) produced tri-isocyanate reinforced graphene aerogel (RGA). RGA showed excellent crude oil sorption capacity (~169 mg/g). They reported that RGA can be considered as an effective absorbent with ease of storage and cleanup, and offers very safe removal of organic contaminants. The prepared aerogels adsorbed crude oil by π–π stacking interactions and abundant pore structures. The synthesis of three-dimensional graphene architectures such as gelation based graphene organo gels, hydrogels, aerogels and their recent developments has been reviewed by Min et al.\(^{[104b]}\) Further, Zhao et al.\(^{[104c]}\) also reviewed preparation of various 3D graphene based hydrogels, aerogels and their applications including water purification.

Kabiri et al.\(^{[104d]}\) reported graphene-carbon nanotube (CNT) aerogels for continuous oil removal. They prepared graphene-CNT aerogels using graphene oxide, acid treated CNTs, and ferrous sulfate as reducing agents. The prepared graphene-CNT hybrid hydrogels were freeze dried in order to get graphene-CNT aerogels. The graphene-CNT aerogels showed very good adsorption of various pure oils, such as vegetable oil, paraffin, gasoline, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and toluene, with an adsorption capacity of about 30 g/g. In the presence of water the adsorption capacity slightly decreased due to competitive sorption of water along with oil. The graphene-CNT aerogels showed very high recycling performance towards gasoline. The sorption capacity of gasoline even after 8th cycle was about 22 g/g. The high oil removal capacity was attributed to the well interconnected 3D architecture of graphene-CNT aerogel and their superoleophilic/superhydrophobic properties.
Similarly, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)-graphene sponges fabricated by Tran et al.\textsuperscript{[104]} showed very high removal capacity towards gasoline, hexane, dimethylformamide (DMF), toluene, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and vegetable oil. PDMS-graphene sponges removed 4.5 L of hexane within 30 min using a non-turbulence pressure assisted oil-water system. PDMS-graphene sponges showed oil sorption capacity from 220–800 wt% towards studied oils and organic solvents.

Guo et al.\textsuperscript{[104]} prepared graphene oxide/polyethylenimine hydrogels (GO/PEI) and studied for the adsorption of methylene blue and rhodamine B dye. GO/PEI hydrogels exhibited 323 mg/g of methylene blue adsorption and 114 mg/g of rhodamine B adsorption. Graphene oxide and polyethylenimine interact well in the hydrogel due to the hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interaction between amine groups of PEI and graphene oxide.

Ma et al.\textsuperscript{[104]} reported preparation of porous graphene hydrogel and used for the removal of ciprofloxacin drug from water. They used hydrothermal reduction method and ascorbic acid as a reducing agent for the preparation of 3D porous graphene hydrogel sorbents. The graphene hydrogel showed 235 mg/g of ciprofloxacin removal from aqueous solution. The major removal mechanism of ciprofloxacin was attributed to $\pi$ interactions, hydrogen bonding between hydrogel and ciprofloxacin and hydrophobic interactions. The hydrogel was also capable of removing methanol and ethanol from water. Graphene hydrogel granules showed high sorption capacity than the graphene hydrogel blocks.

Yang et al.\textsuperscript{[105]} synthesized reduced graphene oxide/iron oxide (GO/FeO$_2$O$_3$) composites. They used GO/FeO$_2$O$_3$ for removal of naphthalene, 1-naphthol, and 1-naphthylamine. The adsorption capacity was as follows: 1-naphthylamine (4.96 mmol/g) > 1-naphthol (2.70 mmol/g) > naphthalene.
Adsorption of multiple and mixed contaminants

The application of graphene-based materials for the adsorption of various types of multiple and mixed contaminants were discussed in this section. The graphene-based material possesses multifunctional characteristics and it simultaneously capable of removing organic dyes, metals ions, organic chemicals and pesticides via adsorption. Pioneering and most important graphene-based materials used for the removal of mixed contaminants and mechanism of removal etc. were discussed.

Graphene-based adsorbents reported for the removal of multiple and mixed pollutants are given in supporting information Table S3. [45, 123-130] Sui et al. [123] reported an eco-friendly method for the preparation of carbon nanotube-graphene hybrid aerogels and applied for various water decontamination applications. They synthesized graphene-CNT hybrid aerogel (graphene-MWCNT) by heating graphene oxide, carbon nanotubes, and vitamin C via supercritical carbon dioxide drying method. GO-MWCNT and GO-c-MWCNT were used as capacitive deionization (CDI) electrodes for brackish water desalination. GO-c-MWCNT and GO-MWCNT were also utilized for the sorption of transition metals and dyes, i.e., Cu(II), Hg(II), Ag(I), Pb(II), fuchsin, acid fuchsin, rhodamine B, and methylene blue. The dyes adsorbed by GO-MWCNT were removed by cetyl trimethylammonium bromide and reused. The prepared hybrid aerogels displayed an excellent efficiency in water treatment such as CDI of NaCl, the removal of heavy metal ions and dyes. Reduced graphene oxide-silver composites (RGO@Ag) prepared by Gupta et al. [124] exhibited excellent dehalogenation as well as very good removal capacity for organochlorine based pesticides from aqueous solution. RGO@Ag converted the persistent organochlorine pesticide, lindane (C_{6}H_{6}Cl_{6}), into different isomers of tri-chloro- and tetrachlorobenzenes (TCBs, C_{6}H_{3}Cl_{3}), whereas the reduced graphene oxide (RGO) and Ag nanoparticles alone failed to do so. The RGO composite showed the highest adsorption capability of lindane as 827 mg/g. The sorption of lindane on RGO@Ag occurs via physisorption, but the sorption of dehalogenated lindane is performed by π-π interactions. RGO@Ag adsorbed pesticides were removed using hexane and were dispersed in aqueous NH_{3} solution. The efficiency of RGO@Ag was decreased to 70–75% after the 5th sorption and desorption cycles. The study showed a new type of removal process for toxic halocarbons and also provided an innovative technique for removal of halocarbons from water.

Koushik et al. [125] used RGO@Ag for sequential dehalogenation of organohalides (aliphatic halocarbons and pesticides) by adsorption during degradation. The RGO@Ag composite showed a very high adsorption for the groups of pesticides (chlorpyrifos (765 mg/g), endosulfan (622 mg/g), and dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (631 mg/g), chlorocarbons (carbon tetrachloride (997 mg/g), chloroform (132 mg/g), dichloromethane (121 mg/g), and 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (1534 mg/g), and 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8-heptadecafluoro-10-iodo decane (498 mg/g). The schematic removal of the pesticides and organohalides is shown in Figure 6a and
their pseudo second-order kinetic parameters for various pollutants are shown in Figure 6b. Liu et al.[126] studied the removal of pharmaceutical drugs and cosmetics by graphene materials and various carbon nanotubes materials. They used reduced graphene oxides (rGO1 and rGO2), graphene, graphite, single wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs), and multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) for the removal of ketoprofen, carbamazepine, and bisphenol A. The schematic preparation of reduced graphene oxides and the sorption mechanism of pharmaceuticals and personal care products are shown in Figure 6c. The maximum sorption capacity of ketoprofen, carbamazepine, and bisphenol A onto rGO1 was 62.5, 115, and 152 mg/g, respectively. The sorption capacity of pharmaceuticals and personal care products followed the order of SWCNTs > rGO1 > rGO2 > MWCNTs > graphene > graphite, which is consistent with the orders of their surface areas and micropore volumes. The graphene composites exhibited an excellent sorption capacity for the removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care products.

Li et al.[127] synthesized graphene oxide nanosheets decorated with Fe3O4 nanoparticles (GO/Fe3O4) and used for the sorption of Cu(II) and fulvic acid (natural organic substance). The copper removal capacity of GO/Fe3O4 was found to be 18.26 mg/g; and in the presence of fulvic acid it was increased and reached to 19.9 mg/g. Furthermore, the GO/Fe3O4 composite recovered more than 80% of fulvic acid from aqueous solutions. The preparation of GO/Fe3O4 and the removal mechanism of copper/fulvic acid are shown in Figure 6d. The desorption of Cu(II) sorbed GO/Fe3O4 was carried out using HNO3 (pH ~ 2) and then GO/Fe3O4 was reused for many times. The Cu(II) adsorption capacity of reused GO/Fe3O4 was decreased by < 5% after five cycles of sorption/desorption, showing that GO/Fe3O4 has a good reusability. Similarly, reduced graphene oxide/iron oxide composite were used for the adsorption of 1-naphthylamine, Pb(II), and 1-naphthol.[128]

In general, graphene oxide nanosheets/aerogels and various functionalized graphene oxide showed high adsorption of heavy metal ions. The native graphene oxide possess many
functional groups namely, carboxyl, hydroxyl, carbonyl, etc. which captures the heavy metals/cationic dyes from aqueous solution via electrostatic attraction. Further, various ligands (amine, EDTA) functionalized graphene oxide showed very high heavy metal sorption capacity compared to graphene oxide. Graphene, reduced graphene oxide and functionalized-metal oxides incorporated reduced graphene oxide showed high adsorption capacity towards toxic anions (As(III)/V), Cr(VI), PO₄²⁻, ClO₃⁻ and anionic dyes. These materials possess lacks of negative charge on the surface and hence showed high sorption capacity towards various toxic anions and anionic dyes. In the adsorption of chemical compounds, oil, pharmaceuticals and pesticide, the physical interactions (various π interactions) playing major role for the removal. Graphene based materials showed very high sorption capacity compared to other carbonaceous adsorbents (activated carbon, fly ash, and carbon nanotubes etc.)

**Graphene based materials for catalytic oxidative degradation of organic contaminants**

Graphene and graphene-based materials were widely used as catalyst for the degradation of organic contaminations from water. The graphene based materials act as an adsorbent material for organic contamination and activates the oxidizing agent (H₂O₂, KIO₃ and Oxone) for the successful degradation. In the presence of graphene based materials, the degradation performances of oxidizing agent many fold increases. The present topic summarises the various graphene materials used for the catalytic oxidative degradation of organic contaminants and current development in the field.

Graphene-based materials reported for catalytic oxidative degradation of various types of organic contaminants are enumerated in supporting information Table S4. Xu et al.[131–141] used graphene-CoFe₂O₄ (G-CoFe₂O₄) composite to activate the oxidizing agent, peroxymonosulfate (PMS), for the degradation of dimethyl phthalate (DMP). G-CoFe₂O₄ was found to be an efficient and better activating agent for PMS than CoFe₂O₄. A graphene content of 22% showed optimum degradation of DMP and excess graphene percentage led to very high sorption of DMP as well as inadequate degradation. G-CoFe₂O₄ was found to be a good activator for PMS at pH 4.0-8.3. In the catalytic oxidative degradation of DMP, G-CoFe₂O₄ acts a supporting material to enhance the sorption of DMP instead of catalyst. DMP decomposition performances of various catalyst systems are shown in Figure 7a. Further, DMP was described by PMS ions and oxidized the DMP. The DMP degradation was mainly done by the OH⁻ and SO₄²⁻ radicals. G-CoFe₂O₄ degraded 24% of total organic carbon (TOC) within 1 h. The initial reduction of TOC may be due to degradation of aliphatic chains in DMP. Thangavel et al.[129] synthesized graphene-oxide-Fe³⁺ hybrid nanosheets (GO-Fe³⁺) and used for the effective oxidation of Reactive Red 120 using a sonocatalytic method. The commercial oxidants, such as potassium periodate (KIO₃), peroxodisulfate (PDS), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and peroxymonosulfate (PMS), were used as catalyst to enhance the sonocatalytic degradation. Reactive Red 120 degradation was many fold enhanced with commercial oxidants (KIO₃ < PDS < H₂O₂ < PMS). During degradation, the addition of SO₄²⁻, Cl⁻, and H₂PO₄⁻ decreased the degradation efficiency, whereas HCO₃⁻ increased the degradation efficiency. The decrease in the degradation efficiency in the presence of inorganic ions was caused by the electrostatic interaction between inorganic anions and GO-Fe³⁺. During dye degradation, the inorganic ions interact with Fe²⁺-Fe³⁺ and thus produce less active radicals than OH⁻. Overall, the oxidants reacted with Fe(II) and promoted the Reactive Red 120 degradation through active radicals. The schematic diagram of Reactive Red 120 degradation using GO-Fe³⁺ hybrid is shown in Figure 7b.

Yao et al.[133] produced magnetic MnFe₂O₄-reduced graphene oxide (rGO) hybrids and MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles and used them as catalysts to activate peroxymonosulfate to oxidatively degrade rhodamine B, methylene blue, methyl violet, methyl orange, and orange II in water. The mechanism of SO₄²⁻ activation by MnFe₂O₄-rGO/PMS is shown in Figure 8a. MnFe₂O₄ and MnFe₂O₄-rGO hybrids showed excellent fenton-like activities, could be separated using magnets, and showed high durability in the degradation of organic pollutants even after four repeated uses. MnFe₂O₄-rGO hybrid activates the primary radical SO₄²⁻ and oxidizing organic pollutants. MnFe₂O₄-rGO hybrid showed a good catalytic performance in the oxidation of Orange II dye than pure MnFe₂O₄ endowing the roles of graphene. The MnFe₂O₄-rGO hybrid showed a highly stable performance and was considered a good candidate for environmental applications as catalytic materials. Li et al.[136] synthesized reduced graphene oxide (rGO) and used for the catalytical oxidative transformation of 1,4-hydroquinone to 1,4-benzoquinone. The prepared rGO (33.3 mg/L) oxidized more than 76% of 1,4-hydroquinone to 1,4-benzoquinone within 36 h without addition of any external oxidizing agents. They proposed that the dissolved oxygen in the aqueous solution reacts with rGO and generates molecular oxygen intermediates at graphene surface. The oxygen intermediates entrap hydrogen peroxide. The prepared rGO acts as a very good adsorbent for 1,4-hydroquinone as well as promotes the catalytic conversion of 1,4-hydroquinone to 1,4-benzoquinone at graphene surface. Sun et al.[138] prepared reduced graphene oxide and used as a catalyst for the degradation of methylene blue, 2,4-dichlorophenol, and phenol in water. The reduced graphene oxide exhibited very high catalytic performance compared to graphene oxide, graphite, carbon nanotube, and commercial activated carbons and was also superior to popular Co₃O₄ (transition metal oxide). The enhanced catalytic property of RGO was very high for aqueous organic pollutants studied because of structure-defective graphene, which effectively activated the peroxymonosulfate and produced active sulfate radicals. The activation of peroxymonosulfate by graphene and the mechanism of the phenol degradation are shown in Figure 8b. The catalytic performance of different carbon materials was in...
the order of RGO > multiwall carbon nanotube > graphite powder > graphene oxide.

Sun et al. [140] synthesized nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide (G–N) and nitrogen-boron-codoped reduced graphene oxide (G–N–B) and used as a metal free catalysts for phenol degradation from aqueous solution. G–N (5.61%) exhibited very high catalytic performance by activating HSO$_5^-$ during the degradation of phenol. Nitrogen-boron-codoped reduced graphene oxide further improved the catalytic performance towards oxidation of phenol. Reduced graphene oxide showed only 52.5% decomposition of phenol in 180 min, whereas G–N and G–N–B showed 100% degradation in 45 min. In the second cycle, G–N and G–N–B showed 56.0% and 86.0% of phenol decomposition in 180 min, respectively, showing good reusability. The prepared metal free graphene based catalysts showed a potential application in wastewater treatment and seemed to be a good alternate of the widely used commercial metal based catalysts. Peng et al. [141] produced porous reduced graphene oxide and used as an adsorbent as well as catalyst for the degradation of organic pollutant from aqueous solution. They activated reduced graphene oxide by used CO$_2$ in order to get porous graphene catalysts. They used CO$_2$ activated reduced graphene oxide for the sorption of phenol and as catalyst for the degradation of methylene blue. The CO$_2$ activated reduced graphene oxide showed high catalytic ability by activation of HSO$_5^-$ to generate SO$_4^{2-}$ radicals for the effective decomposition of methylene blue. The 60-min CO$_2$-activated reduced graphene oxide (A-RGO-60) showed the highest surface area of 1200 m$^2$/g and 100% degradation of methylene blue in 1 h. The surface area of 25-min CO$_2$-activated RGO (A-RGO-25), 75-min CO$_2$-activated RGO (A-RGO-75), and reduced graphene oxide (RGO) were found to be 400, 900, and 200 m$^2$/g, respectively, and took 2.0 h, 1.5 h, and 4 h, respectively, for 100% degradation of methylene blue. The RGO and activated RGO also showed good catalytic properties through activation of HSO$_5^-$ in the absence of a transition metal for degradation of methylene blue. They reported that the prepared activated RGO materials could be used as an eco-friendly sorbent as well as a catalyst for waste water treatment.
Application of graphene-based materials in photocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic impurities

Graphene and graphene-based materials are well known for its electron acceptor and transport properties. Graphene and graphene metal nanocomposites possess very high photocatalytic properties via generation of electron-hole pair in the present of light sources. Graphene materials were used as photocatalyst for the degradation of organic dyes, drugs and toxic chemicals. This section discussing the various graphene-based materials investigated for the photocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic pollutants and recent advances were highlighted. The complete literature survey of various applications of graphene-based materials in photocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic impurities is given in supporting information.


Table S5. [56–59,142–161] Ai et al. [142] synthesized various graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄), RGO composites and used as hybrid photocatalysts. Among them, the prepared g-C₃N₄/0.6 g graphene (CN–G-0.4) showed 100% photo-oxidation of methylene blue and 87% photo-oxidation of phenol in water solutions under UV-visible irradiation. In the visible light of a wavelength greater than 390 nm, it showed 86% methylene blue decomposition; and at a wavelength greater than 430 nm, it showed only 41% methylene blue decomposition. The methylene blue decomposition highly depends on the intensity of light source. The graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) showed very less photo-oxidation efficiency compared with the prepared graphene-carbon nitride hybrids. TiO₂ P25-10 % graphene composite (P25-10 %GN) was prepared by Li et al. [144] which showed >90 % degradation of reactive black 5 in 160 min under UV irradiation. TiO₂ nanorod decorated 5 % GO sheets (TNGS) were synthesized by Lee et al. [145] The sheets showed complete methylene blue decomposition in the presence of visible light irradiation in 3 h. The schematic sketch of the methylene blue photodegradation process with pristine TiO₂ nanorods and TNGSs is shown in Figure 8c. They reported that high photocatalytic activity of TNGS was due to the high surface area, enhanced dye
adsorption efficiency, high electron transport properties and their two dimensional architecture.

Ai et al.\[156\] synthesized the BiOBr-graphene nanocomposite and used for the removal of NO using visible light. They prepared various BiOBr-graphene composites by varying graphene and bismuth molar ratios between 1:10 (BGC-10), 1:20 (BGC-20), 1:50 (BGC-50), and 1:100 (BGC-100). Among the prepared composites, BGS-50 exhibited maximum of 40.3 % of NO removal in 40 min with visible light. Based on the characterization results, they proposed that the improved photocatalytic performance of the BGC composites can be attributed to the excellent charge transfer and separation in between graphene and BiOBr. Kamegawa et al.\[152\] prepared TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles on a mesoporous silica surface selectively coated with 0.15 wt% graphene (TiO\(_2\)/MCM-41/graphene) and used them as UV light photocatalysts for the degradation of 2-propanol. TiO\(_2\)/MCM-41/graphene showed the complete degradation of 2-propanol in 24 h under UV light. They stated that the selective graphene coating led to the enhanced photocatalytic abilities of TiO\(_2\)/MCM-41 for the degradation of 2-propanol from aqueous solution. Wang et al.\[154\] synthesized various graphene-Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\) hybrids and used them as visible light photocatalysts for the decomposition of reactive brilliant red X-3B dye and phenol. They prepared five different samples with initial GO weight of 0.5 % (G-Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\)-1), 1.0 % (G-Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\)-2), 2.5 % (G-Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\)-3), 5 % (G-Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\)-4), and 10 % (G-Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\)-2). Among the prepared composites, G-Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\)-3 hybrid showed > 90 % photodegradation of reactive brilliant red X-3B dye and phenol within 90 min under excellent visible light. The photodegradation of reactive brilliant red dye X-3B onto the graphene-titania composite showed only 39 % photodegradation in 90 min. Graphene-Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\) showed higher photocatalytic activity compared with pure Bi\(_2\)MoO\(_6\) under visible light. The study clearly showed that high loading of GO does not favor the photodegradation of reactive brilliant red dye X-3B.

Jiao et al.\[150\] fabricated reduced graphene oxide/chitosan/silver nanoparticle hydrogel and used as photo catalyst for the degradation of methylene blue and rhodamine B dye. The hydrogel showed about 100 % methylene blue degradation under UV light within 70 min, but the degradation was low in the absence of UV light. In case of rhodamine B, it showed 90 % decomposition under UV light, and only 70 % in the absence of UV light. The high photocatalytic dye decomposition performance of the hydrogel was due to the presence of silver nanoparticle on the reduced graphene sheets, and the chitosan worked as a gelation medium.

A ZnO-graphene oxide nanohybrid (ZnO-GO) was prepared by Ameen et al.\[158\] for the decomposition of crystal violet using UV light. The prepared ZnO-GO showed 98 % degradation in 85 min that could be attributed to GO nanosheets and high charge separation in the presence of UV light irradiation. Ghasemi et al.\[154\] synthesized CeO\(_2\)-TiO\(_2\)-5 wt% graphene composite, which showed 90 % decomposition of Reactive Red 195 and 67 % decomposition of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid in 100 min in UV light. The schematic diagram of the CeO\(_2\)-TiO\(_2\) graphene nanocomposite and the photodegradation of the contaminants are shown in Figure 8d. CeO\(_2\)-TiO\(_2\)-graphene exhibited very high photodecomposition ability compared with CeO\(_2\)-TiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\). The photocatalytic performance of CeO\(_2\)-TiO\(_2\)-graphene decreased with an increase in graphene content. They reported that CeO\(_2\)-TiO\(_2\)-graphene exhibited higher photocatalytic activity than CeO\(_2\)-TiO\(_2\)-carbon nanotube or activated carbon due to their structural architecture and electron transport properties.

Li et al.\[155\] synthesized mesoporous graphene-TiO\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\) composites using sol-gel technique and applied for the photodecomposition of endocrine-disrupting compound atrazine. The schematic structure of CT-GR nanocomposites and their photodegradation pathways are shown in Figure 9a. The three prepared component junction (graphene-TiO\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\)) photocatalysts showed excellent photocatalytic degradation performance for atrazine in sunlight.

Tang et al.\[159\] fabricated reduced graphene oxide codedoped TiO\(_2\) nanotube assembly (Ag/GO-TiO\(_2\)NTs) and used them as photocatalysts for the removal of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (herbicide) from aqueous solution in a solar light irradiation. The Ag/GO-TiO\(_2\)NTs (mixed catalyst) showed complete photodecomposition of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. Ag/GO-TiO\(_2\)NTs showed ~11 fold higher photodecomposition rate than TiO\(_2\) nanotube assembly. Ag/GO-TiO\(_2\)NTs showed 97.3 % degradation of herbicide even after 10 cycles with high stability and reusability. Neppolian et al.\[158\] synthesized two photocatalysts, Pt-graphene oxide-TiO\(_2\) (Pt-GO-TiO\(_2\)) and graphene oxide-TiO\(_2\) (GO-TiO\(_2\)) for the photodegradation of a commonly used anionic surfactant, dodecylbenzenesulfonate in an aqueous solution. Pt-GO-TiO\(_2\) showed 98 % degradation at pH 5.0 in 1 h, whereas GO-TiO\(_2\) showed only 78 % degradation at pH 5.0 in 1 h. The Pt-GO-TiO\(_2\) exhibited excellent photodegradation of dodecyl benzenesulfonate under UV as well as visible light irradiation. The sorption of dodecylbenzenesulfonate onto the catalyst under light irradiation plays a very significant role for the photocatalytic oxidation of dodecylbenzenesulfonate. The Pt-GO-TiO\(_2\) catalyst degraded dodecylbenzenesulfonate rapidly with very high decomposition rate than commercial P-25 and synthesized GO-TiO\(_2\) or TiO\(_2\) photocatalysts. Platinum-doped GO-TiO\(_2\) showed an enhanced dodecylbenzenesulfonate oxidation. Wang et al.\[160\] prepared Cu\(_2\)O/SnO\(_2\)/graphene and SnO\(_2\)/graphene nanocomposite for the photodegradation of pendimethalin (herbicide) using visible light. Cu\(_2\)O/SnO\(_2\)/graphene showed almost 99 % degradation of pendimethalin, whereas SnO\(_2\)/graphene showed 85 % degradation of pendimethalin under similar experimental conditions. The results revealed that when Cu\(_2\)O was mixed with SnO\(_2\)/graphene materials the photodegradation activity was significantly improved by the transfer of light-induced electrons and holes between Cu\(_2\)O and SnO\(_2\). They proposed that the mechanism of photocatalytic reaction was based on the energy band theory. Similarly, 0.6 % Fe-doped TiO\(_2\) nanowire/graphene was used for the photodegradation of 17\~estradiol, an endocrine-disrupting hormone that is commonly released into aquatic environments.\[161\] Visible light solar irradiation of 0.6 % Fe-doped TiO\(_2\) nanowire/graphene showed 95 % degradation of 17\~estradiol. Hou et al.\[160\] made ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) multiporous microbrick/RGO hybrid (ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-MM/RGO) that completely degraded
p-chlorophenol from water under visible light. The ZnFe₂O₄-MM/RGO exhibited very high photocatalytic performance than the pristine ZnFe₂O₄ porous materials and nanoparticles with visible light. The feasible mechanism of the photo-decomposition of p-chlorophenol using ZnFe₂O₄-MM/RGO hybrid with visible light source is shown in Figure 9b.

Graphene–based materials for the electrocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic contaminants

Many graphene-based materials have been successfully applied as an electrocatalyst for the oxidative degradation of organic contaminants. In this method, electro active oxidants were generated using graphene materials modified electrode for the degradation of various toxic organic compounds from wastewater. Various research investigations was carried out with graphene modified electrodes. The electrocatalytic oxidative degradation is an alternative technique for the photocatalytic degradation. In the case of electrocatalytic oxidation, electron-hole pair generated with an applied voltage. Recent research work published on the topic and the mechanisms of organic contaminants degradation were given in this section. Graphene-based catalysts used for the electrocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic contaminants are shown in supporting information Table S6.[162–168] Reduced graphene oxide/TiO₂/carbon cloth (RGO/TiO₂/CC) electrodes were prepared and employed by Zhai et al.[163] for the electrodegradation of methylene blue. The prepared RGO/TiO₂/CC electrode showed up to 15.6% degradation of methylene blue in 160 min with 0.9 V applied potential. Under the same conditions, the prepared RGO/TiO₂/CC showed 26.2% photodegradation of methylene blue in visible light and the combined electrocatalytic and photocatalytic process showed 41.8% decomposition of methylene blue. The photoelectrocatalytic degradation method showed higher degradation than the electrocatalytic and photocatalytic catalytic process for methylene blue. Zhang et al.[164] fabricated anthraquinone@reduced graphene oxide nanohybrid cathodes (AQ@ERGO-NC) and used them for the electrodegradation of...
rhodamine B in N₂ and O₂ saturated solution. AQ@ERGO-NC/N₂ and AQ@ERGONC/O systems in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ and MgSO₄ solutions showed only 12% degradation of rhodamine B in 120 min. The schematic illustration of the probable electrocatalytic oxidation mechanism of AQ@ERGO-NC electrode in MgSO₄ and Na₂SO₄ catholytes is shown in Figure 9c. AQ@ERGO-NC showed about 100% degradation of rhodamine B using the FeOOH/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst for 60 min in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ and MgSO₄ electrolyte solution. The enhanced electrocatalytic degradation of rhodamine B was caused by the high activity of FeOOH nanoparticles and its capability to convert the electrogenerated H₂O₂ molecules into oxidative radicals. The FeOOH-catalyzed heterogeneous electro-fenton system consisting AQ@ERGONC and FeOOH/γ-Al₂O₃ nanoparticles showed a high degradation rate for rhodamine B. The strong interfacial interactions of reduced graphene oxide nanosheets and anthraquinone molecules ensured the efficient cathodic electrogeneration of H₂O₂ and the degradation of rhodamine B. Similarly, Wang et al. produced 1.0 wt% RGO/TiO₂ film (surface area 57.2 m²/g and size 19.1 nm) and studied the electrocatalytic decomposition of acid Orange II and rhodamine B. The 1.0 wt% RGO/TiO₂ film electrode showed a maximum of 12% degradation of rhodamine B and acid Orange II at an applied potential of 0.6 V at pH 6.0 in 40 min. Further, 1.0 wt% RGO/TiO₂ showed 72% degradation of rhodamine B and 45% degradation of Orange II under the photocatalytic method. They reported that the photoelectrocatalytic method is the best method for the complete degradation of dyes. Zhai et al. reported the electrocatalytic oxidation of methylene blue using reduced graphene oxide modified platinum nanoflower-TiO₂ nanotube arrays (Pt-TNT/RGO) under visible light. The ternary catalysts (Pt-TNTs/RGO) displayed very low electrocatalytic performance (10.8%) for the degradation of methylene blue in 120 min. However, the ternary electrode also displayed efficient photoelectrocatalytic degradation ability for methylene blue in visible light. In contrast, compared with other degradation processes, the photoelectrocatalytic degradation process showed 80.9% degradation efficiency, whereas the photocatalytic process degraded only 20.7% of methylene blue under similar conditions.

**Graphene based materials for photoelectrocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic contaminants**

Photoelectrocatalytic oxidative degradation is an advanced method for the organic pollutants degradation. The graphene materials induced by the lightsources as well as electricity for effective production of photogenerated oxidants and electro-generated oxidants for the complete mineralization of organic pollutants. The organic pollutants degradation efficiency of photoelectrocatalytic oxidation is very high compared with photocatalytic oxidation and electrocatalytic oxidation with graphene materials. Currently, many organic dyes were degraded with this method in the present of UV light and visible light. We have reviewed few papers on photoelectrocatalytic oxidative degradation efficiency of graphene modified electrode and summarised the current trends in this section. Various graphene based materials developed for the photocatalytic oxidative decomposition of organic contaminants are shown in supporting information Table 5. Zhai et al. fabricated reduced graphene oxide modified TiO₂ nanotube arrays (RGO-TNTs) for the photoelectrocatalytic oxidative degradation of methyl orange in the presence of visible light. The RGO-TNTs showed higher photocatalytic activity and charge transfer capacity than TiO₂ nanotube arrays. RGO-TNTs showed 30% degradation of methyl orange with a bias potential of 1.0 V under visible-light illumination. RGO-TNTs electrode exhibited a steady and enhanced photoelectrocatalytic performance for the oxidative decomposition of methyl orange than TiO₂ nanotube array electrode. The RGO-TNTs electrode showed high degradation efficiency compared with the electrocatalytic and photocatalytic methods because of the combined effect of both photocatalytic and electrocatalytic processes involved in the degradation.

Various reduced graphene oxide/TiO₂ composite films with 0.2-1.5 wt% RGO/TiO₂ films were synthesized by Wang et al. and used for the photoelectrocatalytic degradation of Orange II and rhodamine B acid from aqueous solutions. Among them, the prepared 1.0 wt% RGO/TiO₂ film electrode showed 90–100% degradation of rhodamine B and ~97% degradation of acid Orange II in 40 min using an applied voltage of 0.6 V under UV light. The 0.2, 0.6, and 1.5% graphene oxide/TiO₂ composites showed 70, 83, and 92% decomposition of rhodamine B, respectively with similar experimental conditions. An RGO/TiO₂ film of 1 wt% showed about 4–5-fold improved photoelectrocatalytic degradation performance for acid Orange II rhodamine B than native TiO₂ film. The higher photoelectrocatalytic activity was primarily produced by reduced graphene oxide through enhanced electron transfer onto RGO/TiO₂ film. Zhai et al. synthesized reduced graphene oxide/TiO₂ modified carbon cloth electrode (RGO/TiO₂/CC) and studied photoelectrocatalytic decomposition of methylene blue in visible light. The schematic diagram of the RGO/TiO₂/CC electrode system and the photoelectrocatalytic degradation process of methylene blue are shown in Figure 9d. The RGO/TiO₂/CC electrode showed 89.9% decomposition of methylene blue photoelectrocatalytic with an applied voltage of 0.9 V. They compared the degradation efficiency of RGO/TiO₂/CC applying the electrocatalytic and photocatalytic processes. A high degradation of methylene blue was obtained applying the photoelectrocatalytic degradation process. The improved photoelectrocatalytic performance of the electrode was attributed to enhanced transfer of photoinduced electrons and effective electron-hole separation in the presence of visible light. Moreover, the catalytic activity and recyclability of the RGO/TiO₂/CC electrode were very high even after five cycles and the photoelectrocatalytic performance did not change, which indicates that RGO/TiO₂/CC electrode is very stable and could be reused for many cycles. Zhai et al. synthesized Pt/TiO₂/reduced graphene oxide (Pt-TNTs/RGO) and studied photoelectrocatalytic decomposition of methylene blue in visible light. The fabricated Pt-TNTs/RGO electrode showed 80.9% degradation of methylene blue with an applied voltage of ~0.3 V in 120 min. They compared the catalytic activity of the Pt-TNTs/RGO elec-
tode applying the electrocatalytic and photocatalytic processes. The photoelectrochemical process showed a high degradation compared with the electrocatalytic and photocatalytic methods under similar conditions. The reduced graphene oxide improves sunlight absorption and promotes charge separation during the catalytic process. Yang et al.\cite{170} prepared the TiO$_2$/graphene/Cu$_2$O mesh for the photoelectrochemical oxidation of bisphenol A. The prepared TiO$_2$/graphene/Cu$_2$O mesh showed 64% degradation of bisphenol A under visible light in 250 min. To improve further the degradation rate, 50 mM H$_2$O$_2$ was poured into reactor and bisphenol A degradation reaction was conducted under similar conditions, which showed 92% degradation in 250 min. The complete degradation of bisphenol A was conducted under UV light irradiation. The TiO$_2$/graphene/Cu$_2$O mesh showed 100% degradation of bisphenol A under visible light in 150 min. To further decrease the degradation time, about 50 mM H$_2$O$_2$ was poured into reactor and bisphenol A degradation reaction was conducted under similar conditions, which showed 100% degradation in 90 min. The ternary TiO$_2$/graphene/Cu$_2$O catalyst exhibited very high photoelectrocatalytic activity for the decomposition of bisphenol A with an aid of UV/visible irradiation compared with photocatalytic degradation.

Modified graphene oxide/reduced graphene oxide and metal oxide/reduced graphene oxide materials were widely used for catalytic oxidative degradation of organic pollutants. The graphene based materials act as adsorbent materials, on the surface of graphene the organic pollutants degradation occurs though oxidizing agent. In the case of photocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic pollutants, metal oxide incorporated graphene oxide/reduced graphene oxide were used as photocatalyst. Graphene based materials plays a vital role in the photocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic pollutants. The graphene based materials acts as an electron transporter and suppress the recombination of photogenerated e-$\cdot$h$^+$ pairs. The excited electron travels on the surface of metal oxide/graphene composite and produce the very reactive superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$) and hydroxyl radicals (OH$^-$). The produced radicals were degrading the organic pollutants and mineralize the pollutant. The photocatalytic oxidative degradation depends on the photolight sources and energy gap of the incorporated metal oxide. The photodegradation efficiency of graphene material incorporated metal oxides are many fold higher compared to the native metal oxide. Graphene/metal oxide modified electrode showed excellent electrocatalytic oxidative degradation of organic pollutants. Further, graphene materials/metal oxide modified electrode showed superior organic pollutant degradation performances through photoelectrocatalytic oxidative degradation. The photoelectrocatalytic oxidative degradation of graphene based photoelectrocatalyst will be a power full tool for the organic pollutant degradation in near future.

Application of graphene based materials in water disinfection

Metal oxide incorporated graphene oxide/reduced graphene oxide, graphene oxide membranes/films, graphene nanorods and graphene nanowells etc. were widely used as water disinfection agents through direct contact or photolight irradiation. The graphene-based material inactivates/degrades the disease causing microorganism namely, *Escherichia coli* (E. coli), *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis* from water media. The inactivation/degradation of microorganism by graphene materials takes place through the breaking of cell walls by direct contact or photolight induced degradation. Application of various graphene based materials used in water disinfection and their mechanism were briefly discussed under this section. The applications of various graphene based materials in water disinfection are given in supporting information Table 5B.\cite{171–186}

Akhavan et al.\cite{172} synthesized graphene oxide nanowalls (GONWs) and reduced graphene oxide nanowalls (RGONWs) and studied their antibacterial properties on *E. coli* (gram-negative) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (gram-positive). GONWs showed 74% and 59% antibacterial efficiency upon a direct contact to *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, respectively, in 1 h. RGONWs showed 84% *E. coli* inactivation and 95% *S. aureus* inactivation within 1 h. The prepared materials showed high antibacterial efficiency for *S. aureus* compared with *E. coli*. *S. aureus* contains a peptidoglycan layer (thickness between 20–80 nm) and does not have an external membrane; therefore, it has easy-to-break cell membrane by the sharp edges of nanowalls, whereas *E. coli* contains a thinner peptidoglycan layer (thickness between 7–8 nm) and it contains extra outer membrane and thus it is difficult to break its cell membrane with the sharp edges of nanowalls. The RGONWs exhibited more antibacterial property than GONWs. The schematic illustration of cell membrane damage by graphene sheets is shown in Figure 10a.\cite{187}

Carpio et al.\cite{175} synthesized graphene oxide functionalized with ethylenediamine triacetic acid (GO-EDTA) and investigated its antimicrobial activity. Its antimicrobial properties were studied using *Cupriavidus metallidurans* CH4 (gram-negative bacteria) and *Bacillus subtilis* (gram-positive bacteria) and the cytotoxicity was tested against human corneal epithelial cell line hTCEpi. GO-EDTA showed 99.1% and 92.3% antimicrobial activity against *C. metallidurans* CH4 and *B. subtilis* under direct contact for 3 h at 1000 µg mL$^{-1}$ GO-EDTA concentration, whereas it showed no activity against human corneal epithelial cell line hTCEpi under direct contact in 24 h. The pristine graphene oxide showed 92% and 82.2% antimicrobial activity against *C. metallidurans* CH4 and *B. subtilis* under direct contact for 3 h at 1000 µg mL$^{-1}$ GO-EDTA concentration. The antimicrobial effect of GO-EDTA was due to the induction of oxidative stress in the bacterial cells. Since GO-EDTA did not show cytotoxicity against human cells, it can conveniently be used for the biomedical and water treatment purposes. Similarly, Cao et al.\cite{178} synthesized various combinations of TiO$_2$/graphene sheet nanocomposites and studied their antibacterial activity against *E. coli* under visible light. Among the prepared TiO$_2$/graphene sheet nanocomposites, TiO$_2$ with 4.2 wt% graphene sheet nanocomposites (TiO$_2$/4.2 wt% GSs) showed 90.5% antibacterial activity against *E. coli* in 12 h in visible light. The same composite did not exhibit any antibacterial property in the absence of light. The TiO$_2$/1.4 wt% graphene sheet nanocomposites showed about 75.2% antibacterial activity and
TiO$_2$/7 wt% graphene sheet nanocomposites showed 63.3% antibacterial activity under similar conditions only with TiO$_2$/graphene sheet nanocomposites. The pure TiO$_2$ showed 8.8% bacterial inactivation performance in visible light. They reported very high bacterial inactivation performance of nanocomposites owing to the prolonged light absorption, enhanced charge separation, involvement of hydroxyl and super oxide radicals.

Hui et al.[179] fabricated the polyelectrolyte-stabilized reduced graphene oxide sheets on a quartz substrate thin film (PEL-rGO LBL) and studied its antibacterial activity against E. coli and B. subtilis under solar irradiation. The graphical illustration of the fabricated surface of the PEL-rGO LBL sheets and their airborne bacteria killing mechanism under solar irradiation are shown in Figure 10b. The prepared material showed > 90% antibacterial activity against both bacteria in 10 min under solar irradiation. The high antibacterial activity of PEL-rGO LBL was attributed to solar radiation in the near-infrared region, which generated the rapid localized heating in the PEL-rGO LBL multilayer in a short time period. They reported that the PEL-rGO LBL multilayer can be conveniently used for disinfection of various biomedical devices and any other materials by few minutes long solar exposure. Jiang et al.[180] fabricated the crumpled graphene-oxide-encapsulated Ag membrane (GOAg) and the crumpled graphene oxide (CGO) membrane and studied their antibacterial activity against E. coli. Both materials showed more than 97% antibacterial activity under direct contact in 2 h. Similarly, Liu et al.[181] synthesized graphene oxide (GO) enwrapped Ag$_3$PO$_4$ (GO-Ag$_3$PO$_4$) and showed 100% antibacterial activity against E. coli under visible light in 2 h. The schematic illustration of the photocatalytic disinfection and dye degradation using the GO-Ag$_3$PO$_4$ composite is shown in Figure 10c. Pham et al.[184] fabricated blade-like graphene-based nanosheet films, i.e., graphene nanosmooth (GN–S) and graphene nanorough (GN–R) films for killing P. aeruginosa and S. aureus bacteria by direct contact. GN–S (500 nm size) showed 71.4 and 77.1% antibacterial activity against P. aeruginosa and S. aureus, respectively, under direct contact for 18 h. GN–R (1.5 μm size)
showed 43.1% inactivation against *P. aeruginosa* and 87.6% inactivation against *S. aureus* under direct contact for 18 h. The primary antibacterial behavior of the graphene nanosheets was attributed to thickness of graphene edges. Wu et al.\cite{186} fabricated magnetic reduced graphene oxide functionalized glutaraldehyde (MRGOGA) and studied their antibacterial activity. The schematic diagram of the batch-mode photothermal antibacterial process of MRGOGA is shown in Figure 10d. The prepared graphene-based material, MRGOGA, was studied for the effective killing of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* with an aid of near-infrared laser irradiation. MRGOGA showed more than 99% inactivation of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* in 10 min. After the disinfection of bacteria, MRGOGA entrapped bacteria were easily separated using magnets from aqueous solution.

Graphene based materials showed an excellent application in water disinfection by direct contact and photoirradiation. Graphene nanomaterials (rods, wells, nanosheets, thin films) showed disinfection properties through direct contact towards different disease causing microorganism. Metal oxide-graphene materials also degrading the microorganism through the photoirradiation mechanism which is similar to the organic pollutant degradation. Among them, solar light induced photocatalytic disinfection methods have a potential practical application in the forthcoming days.

### Application of graphene based materials in water desalination

In recent days, graphene based materials showed ground breaking applications in water desalination. Various techniques were reported for tailoring the pore size of graphene based surfaces/membranes. Graphene based aerogel electrodes were reported for the desalination of brackish water using capacitive deionization technique. Tailored graphene membranes widely reported for the removal of NaCl, KCl, MgSO₄, and MgCl₂ and various organic dyes using permeation, dead-end membrane filtration methods. In this section, we have reviewed the various reported graphene based materials used of the desalination and discussed their desalination feasibility and advantages. Various graphene based materials were used for water desalination and their detailed experimental conditions are shown in supporting information Table S9. The first vacuum tight graphene based membrane and its desalination properties were reported by Nair et al.\cite{187} The recent development of various graphene oxide membrane materials and their desalination applications were extensively reviewed by Joshi et al.\cite{188} They reported that graphene oxide membrane acts as a molecular sieve allowing all solute with hydrated radius less than ~4.5 Å.\cite{189} They fabricated micrometer thickness graphene oxide membrane (1 cm) glued into copper foil and sealed in a 2.5 cm diameter U-shaped setup divided into two compartments by graphene oxide: feed and permeated compartments. The schematic picture of prepared membrane, U shaped filtration setup and variation of permeation rate with respect to hydrated radius of species tested for separation. Reproduced with permission.\cite{189} Copyright 2014, Nature Publishing Group.

Wang et al.\cite{191} synthesized the functionalized reduced graphene oxide-resol nanocomposite (RGO-RF) and applied as an electrode material for the desalination of water via capacitive deionization (CDI) method. They reduced the graphene oxide...
using the mixture of Na$_2$CO$_3$, resorcinol, and methanol as catalysts in a ratio of 1:200:400, respectively. Among the studied materials, RGO-RF showed a better desalination performance than RGO and activated carbon. The promising result indicated that the novel RGO-RF electrode has a potential application in water desalination in method. The electrosorptive removal performances of NaCl by RGO-RF, RGO, and activated carbon were found to be 3.2, 1.8, and 1.5 mg/g, respectively, with 20 mL min$^{-1}$ inflow of 65 mg/L NaCl solution and 2.0 V applied electric field. The enhanced desalination of RGO-RF was attributed to the very specific surface area (406.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), which resulted in high NaCl uptake. Sun et al.$^{[192]}$ synthesized RGO/TiO$_2$ hybrid membranes via ultraviolet radiation and used for water purification by an ion permeation method. The prepared RGO/TiO$_2$ hybrid membranes with a composition of GO (0.1 mg mL$^{-1}$) and TiO$_2$ (0.08 mg mL$^{-1}$) showed the salt rejection up to 95% under UV irradiation for three days, whereas pristine graphene/SiN did not show the filterability for KCl. They reported that the produced nanoporous single-layer graphene exhibited excellent water desalination capacity from an aqueous solution of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Li$^+$, and Cl$^-$ ions. The nanoporous single-layer graphene membranes displayed a 100% rejection of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Li$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ion and water passed through rapidly. Hu et al.$^{[193]}$ synthesized graphene oxide cross-linked trimesoyl chloride, which

![Figure 12. a) Schematic diagram of the GO reduction process by titania under ultraviolet irradiation and RGO/TO hybrid membranes water purification process. Reproduced with permission.$^{[192]}$ Copyright 2015, Nature Publishing Group. b) Schematic diagram of water desalination by GO nanosheet membrane. Reproduced with permission.$^{[46]}$ Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society. c) Schematic illustration of the GO membrane and the transport of alkali and alkaline metal salts. Reproduced with permission.$^{[193]}$ Copyright 2014, American Chemical Society. d) Computational stimulation of pores in a single layer graphene membrane and their water desalination mechanism. Reproduced with permission.$^{[195]}$ Copyright 2012, American Chemical Society.]
was deposited on a polydopamine coated polysulfone membrane. They used the prepared membrane for the removal of NaCl, Na₂SO₄, rhodamine WT, and methylene blue from water using a dead-end membrane filtration method. The schematic diagram of water desalination by the prepared membrane is shown in Figure 11b. The prepared membranes coated with 15 layers of GO on polydopamine-coated polysulfone support membranes showed 59 and 88% rejection of NaCl and Na₂SO₄, respectively. The same membrane also showed 95% rejection of rhodamine-WT and 66% rejection of methylene blue. It was observed that an increase of GO layers to 50 leads to a decrease in the desalination of water and the optimum 15 GO layers showed the maximum desalination efficiency. Similarly, Han et al. fabricated ultrathin graphene nanofiltration membranes (uGNMs) with a modified graphene oxide (34.0 mg m⁻²).

The modified graphene was coated on mixed cellulose ester membrane. The thickness of the graphene oxide coating was found to be 22-53 nm. The uGNMs were used for desalination of water. Ultrathin graphene nanofiltration membranes with 34.0 mg m⁻² of GO content showed 99% rejection of dye namely direct red 81 and methyl blue in 30 min using a dead-end filtration method. uGNMs showed 60, 43, 30, and 20% rejection of Na₂SO₄, NaCl, MgSO₄, and MgCl₂ within 30 min using a dead-end filtration method. The uGNMs exhibited tremendous performance and high dye removal caused by electrostatic interaction and physical separation. The salt rejection was controlled by Donnan exclusion of the ultrathin graphene membranes.

Sun et al. fabricated few layers of graphene oxide membranes and used for water desalination process. They studied the selective transmembrane transport of alkali and alkaline metal salt solutions using graphene oxide membranes. The schematic illustration of the prepared membrane and the transport of alkali and alkaline metal salts are shown in Figure 11c. They reported that the transport behaviors of the selected metal ions (K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, and Ba²⁺) highly depend on produced thermal motions and the interaction of metal ions on π electron network of graphene oxide membranes. They reported that prepared graphene oxide membranes would be very useful as a filter material for the separation of many gases and liquids.

Cohen-Tanugi and Grossman reported computational stimulation of single layer nanopores in a graphene membrane for the effective desalination of water. Computational modeling of nanopores in a single layer graphene membrane and their water desalination mechanism is shown in Figure 11d. Computational stimulation study is very useful in understanding the next-generation graphene membranes for clean water technology.

Micrometer thickness graphene based materials showed extraordinary water desalination property. The novel graphene materials reported namely, micrometer thickness graphene oxide membrane, porous graphene/SiN pore, graphene oxide cross-linked polydopamine-coated polysulfone membranes, ultrathin graphene nano-filtration membrane were shown an excellent water desalination properties by controlling the pore size. The pore size controlled graphene materials not allowing any other ions (Na, dyes, As) to pass through it and it act as molecular sieve. On the other hand graphene materials/aerogel coated electrode showed good desalination capacity through capacitive deionization (CDI) process and supercapacitor based water filter. Design of future desalination technology process using tailored graphene materials is a fast growing filed and many research works is under progress in this field. The graphene based materials will show a huge impact on the future water desalination.

**Future challenges and prospects**

The applications of graphene materials in the field of water/wastewater treatment are growing rapidly. The aggregation of graphene in aqueous solutions is the major technical bottlenecks in water purification. The aggregation of graphene layers reduces contaminants and the accessibility to the surface and limits their interaction. To overcome such technological bottlenecks, graphene based materials were modified with various functional groups. The hydrophilic functional groups (carboxyl, ketone, epoxy, and hydroxyl groups) on the graphene surface enhanced their dispersion and decreased their aggregation. The modified graphene materials are highly interactive with aqueous pollutants and can enhance their removal. During water purification process, separation of graphene nanomaterials requires membrane filters. To solve filtration problems, magnetic graphene based materials have been prepared. Magnetic particles prevent the aggregation of the graphene and can easily be separated from the solution using external magnetic field. The graphene composites degraded various types of organic pollutants with sun light, and the electrophotolysis-assisted technique showed great potential and can be applied to industrial water treatment. The reported graphene-based materials showed groundbreaking applications in the fields of water desalination and disinfection properties. They will have great impact on sea water desalination and will solve the drinking water problem all over the world and thus will be the alternative to reverse osmosis. The synthesis of graphene, GO, and rGO is still a challenging process, scientists will have to develop more simple, robust, and efficient preparation methods for graphene, GO, rGO, and their nanocomposites. For the complete understating of graphene-based materials, still exhaustive studies are required considering their structure, formation, size, viability, reproducibility, methods and properties. Currently, an extensive research work is being carried out in this area. On the other hand, commercial large scale production of graphene materials is challenging and further work to be addressed on their commercial production for the wide range of applications. The toxicity of graphene based materials for living things, human exposure and ecosystem must be extensively investigated and these issues have not been addressed to date. Graphene based materials have many advantages as well as limitations in water purifications, at the same time it is a most promising material for solving various environmental problems. We finally conclude that graphene and graphene-based composites will emerge as a mounting star in the water purification in near future.
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