Journal of Materials Chemistry A

PAPER

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 15397

Received 10th September 2013 Accepted 10th October 2013

DOI: 10.1039/c3ta13621c

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

1. Introduction

Li-ion batteries used as energy sources for multifarious applications employ layered LiCoO2, LiNi1/3Mn1/3CO1/3O2 and also spinel LiMn₂O₄ oxide ceramics as cathode systems. However, a variety of cathode materials are currently being researched to address challenges related to flat cell voltage, cyclability, cost and toxicity issues.¹ In this scenario, the olivine-type LiFePO₄ system has an edge over other cathode systems owing to the occurrence of two inherently robust chemical phases that arise in covalent orthorhombic phosphate phases, namely LiFePO₄ and FePO₄, upon electrochemical cycling, and the propensity for chemical breakdown of the host constituents is very pronounced during electrochemical cycling.² Furthermore, another challenge is the stability of the cathode(s) during the operation of batteries in arrays, leading to excessive heat generation.3 Because of its more stable three dimensional structure, the LiFePO4 system can endure both chemical breakdown upon cycling as well heat generation, which makes this system an ideal candidate as a prospective structurally stable cathode, with immense potential for applications. However, the dismal electronic^{4,5} and ionic conductivities^{6,7} of this olivine-type cathode system are the major limiting factors on the capacity of the electrochemical power system. These shortcomings can be overcome through surface coating,^{8,9} aliovalent doping10,11 and size miniaturization.12-14 In this

Sol–gel synthesis and impedance characteristics of networked nanocrystalline olivine cathode for Li-ion full cells

P. Manikandan, P. Periasamy and R. Jagannathan*

A sol-gel synthesis using adipic acid yielded small particles of around 20 nm in size of olivine LiFePO₄/C cathode materials. In the characterization of cathode system(s), solid state impedance spectra of the pristine LiFePO₄/C cathode revealed clear localization of charge through charge build-up. When networked with MWCNT, this material facilitates enhancement in charge mobility, eventually explaining the capacity enhancement of the LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT electrode, which yields a high capacity of 163 mA h g⁻¹ at C/10. On the other hand, the lower capacity of 125 mA h g⁻¹ found for the pristine LiFePO₄/C electrode material can be explained in terms of charge becoming localized/trapped in the vicinity of inter- and intra-granular regions of the cathode particles. To get a broader view of the application potential (in terms of cell voltages of ~3 V, 2 V, and safety aspects) of the networked cathode materials, two kinds of Li-ion full cells using mesocarbon microbeads (MCMB) and Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ as anodes were fabricated, which yielded capacities of 1.94 and 2.1 mA h respectively.

context, it is significant to highlight the insightful, exhaustive review centering on the different strategies adopted for the surface coating of LiFePO₄ cathode systems, eventually aiming at great enhancement of the cathode performance.¹⁵ The miniaturization to the nanoscale of these particles is significant, as this can decrease the diffusion length of Li ions, facilitating efficient Li ion transportation¹⁶ in the cathode material. Although particle size reduction down to the nanoscale (~30 nm) may enhance the transportation of Li ions,¹⁷ poor particle to particle contact eventually reduces the conductivity,¹⁸ which is a major consequence that needs to be addressed.

In order to address these issues, in this study we have attempted the synthesis of small olivine cathode materials (Ø around 20 nm) through a sol-gel route employing adipic acid, for the reason that the organic fuel might leave more residual carbon following thermolysis in addition to resulting in ultra fine nanoparticles. The unique feature of the present report is that here the average particle size is much smaller than in previous reports¹⁹ which yielded larger particles (~100 nm), even though they used the same chelating agent.^{20,21} It has previously been reported that embedding pristine cathode particles in functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)²² results in remarkable cell performance. Quite recently there has been extensive research demonstrating the importance of pure, doped CNTs when networked with olivine cathodes to achieve higher capacities.^{23,24} The lithium battery community (using LiFePO₄ cathodes) has attempted to achieve higher capacity and rate capability through networking with conducting carbon in several forms, including carbon fiber,

View Article Online

FMD and Lithium Batteries – Electrochemical Power Sources Division, Central Electrochemical Research Institute (CECRI – CSIR), Karaikudi 630 006, T. N., India. E-mail: jags57_99@yahoo.com; Fax: +91 4565227713; Tel: +91 9487167780

graphene and MWCNTs, *etc.* However, in terms of electrical conductivity, surface area and aspect ratio, MWCNTs have clear advantages over other forms of conducting carbon.^{15,25,26} In view of these outstanding features, we have chosen MWCNTs as the networking medium for the synthesized cathode particles. Accordingly, in this study we compare the results of pristine and MWCNT networked cathode systems. Also, to gain further insight into the mechanism responsible for the enhanced performance of the cathode materials, we have investigated these systems using solid state impedance spectroscopy. The scope of the present investigation is further widened with the fabrication of mesocarbon microbeads (MCMB) vs. LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT and Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ vs. LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT Li-ion full cells.

2. Experimental

A stoichiometric amount of FeC₂O₄·2H₂O (Alfa Aesar) was dissolved in 1.5 M HNO3 (Merck). 2 M adipic acid aqueous solution (at 50 °C) was added drop by drop to this solution, followed by vigorous stirring for 5 h. Subsequently, LiNO3 was added slowly to the mixture solution, and finally NH4H2PO4 was added. This solution was subjected to homogenization with stirring and was allowed to remain at 85 °C for 6 h, resulting in gel formation, which was dried at 110 °C for 12 h. Then the dried gel was subjected to decomposition, thermolysis at 400 °C for 2 h, followed by calcination at 700 °C for 1 h in an Ar atmosphere, leaving a highly fluffy mass as the product, comprising both LiFePO₄ and residual carbon. For this reason, we labelled the thermolysis product as LiFePO₄/C. After this, the as-synthesized material was embedded in functionalized 5% MWCNT (Sisco Research Lab Chemicals: MWCNT type 2, outer diameter: 8-15 nm, length: 10–30 μ m, assay: 95%, conductivity: 1–4 \times 10^2 S cm⁻¹) in HNO₃ through sonication for 2 h in an ethanol medium. Finally, the resulting LiFePO4/C-MWCNT material was heated at 80 °C for 5 h. This sol-gel synthesis route is shown schematically in Fig. 1.

The crystal structure of the prepared samples was analyzed by X-ray diffractometry using a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer with a Cu K α X-ray source ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). Measurements were recorded in the 2θ range 10–80°, and the XRD data were refined using a least squares data refinement program. The morphology of these particles was examined using a Hitachi S-3000H transmission electron microscope (TEM), and the corresponding selected area electron diffraction patterns (SAED) were also recorded. The amount of residual carbon present in the thermolysis product was analyzed using a CHNOS elemental analyzer (Elementar, Vario EL III) and was found to be around 6.5%. Solid state and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analyses were carried out for both the cathode materials and the fabricated electrochemical cells using a VM3 Multichannel electrochemical workstation over a frequency range of 5 mHz to 1 MHz.

All electrochemical characterizations were carried out using a CR-2032 cell configuration. Coin cells (half cells) were assembled using lithium foil (thickness: 0.75 mm) as the anode and the synthesized LiFePO₄/C or LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT materials coated on Al foil as the cathode. In an attempt to examine



Fig. 1 Scheme of the synthesis of LiFePO $_4$ /C, and networking with MWCNTs.

the complete performance of the synthesized cathode system, the electrochemical study was extended to the fabrication of Li-ion full cells with Celgard® 2340 separator using negative electrodes of mesocarbon microbeads (MCMB, commercial sample obtained from Lin Yi DaKe Trade Co. Ltd, Grade: H₁) or Li₄Ti₅O₁₂, and a positive electrode of LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT. In this cell design, a 1 M solution of LiPF₆ in 1 : 1 (v/v) ethylene carbonate, dimethyl carbonate (EC–DMC) mixture was used as the electrolyte. For the Li-ion Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ vs. LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT full cell studies, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ nanopowder was synthesized by a solution–combustion synthesis using titanyl nitrate $[TiO(NO_3)_2]$ and LiNO₃ as the oxidant precursors and glycine as the fuel, as in our earlier report.²⁷

Furthermore, the anodes were fabricated by doctor bladecoating a slurry comprising 80% of the material (MCMB, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂), 15% super carbon (SP-carbon, Timcal) and 5% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVdF) binder in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) coated onto copper foil $(9 \,\mu m)$. The two kinds of cathode slurries prepared in this study had the relative compositions (wt%) of cathode sample : MWCNT : SP-carbon : PVdF (NMP) in the ratios of 80:0:15:5 (for pristine LiFePO₄/C) and 80:5:10:5 (for the LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT networked cathode). To fabricate the electrodes, these slurries were coated over aluminum foil (15 μ m) and dried at 85 °C for 12 h in a vacuum oven. The area, thickness and weight of the positive electrode were 1.54 cm², 75 μ m and 12.3 mg (active material, 80%), respectively, to obtain two kinds of cells, Li-ion half cells (Li vs. LiFePO₄/C, Li vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT) and Li-ion full cells (MCMB vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT). In the Li-ion full cells, the negative : positive weight ratios of active material were ~0.5:1 (MCMB:LiFePO4/C-MWCNT) and 1:1 (Li₄Ti₅O₁₂: LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT). The cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box (mBRAUN MB200G) with oxygen and moisture levels less than 0.1 ppm. Galvanostatic charge-discharge studies were carried out in the voltage range 2.5-4.5 V and 1.0-2.5 V at C/10, 1 C, 2 C, 3 C and 5 C rates at room temperature using an Arbin multichannel cycler instrument (BT2000).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural analysis

The present synthesis based on sol–gel thermolysis produced well grown, single phase LiFePO₄/C nanocrystalline particles. The corresponding powder XRD pattern yielded diffraction planes that could be indexed to the orthorhombic space group



Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction pattern of LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT including the refined crystallographic cell parameters (*a*, *b*, *c*), consistent with JCPDS #40-1499.

Pnma, corresponding to the standard olivine LiFePO₄ system, as can be seen from the pattern of JCPDS #40-1499 in Fig. 2, showing the pattern of LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT as a representative example. On closer examination of this XRD pattern, the sharp lines observed suggests the highly crystalline nature of the sample, apart from secondary impurity phase(s). Furthermore, the refined crystallographic unit cell parameter values a = 5.996, b = 10.319 and c = 4.694 Å, deduced using a simple least squares refinement program (generated by Roy G. Garvey, Department of Chemistry, North Dakota State University, USA), showed good agreement with standard values as shown in Fig. 2.

The particle morphology of the as-synthesized particles shown in the TEM images showed nanoparticles of irregular polyhedral morphology. Although these cathode particles are agglomerated, we can make a reasonable estimate of the average size of the particles from the size information based on individual isolated particles, as marked in Fig. 3(i) and (iii), which is found to be around 20 nm for both pristine LiFePO₄/C and LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT. In the case of former, there are isolated cathode particles lacking face to face contact through carbon particles (\sim 6.5%) occurring as a result of the thermolysis reaction. On the other hand, in the latter case, there is an obvious increase in the face to face contact between particles through MWCNT networking. Another salient feature revealed in the SAED patterns of both the pristine and networked nanoparticles (with the incident electron beam along the [111] direction) is the occurrence of diffraction spots resembling parallel lines (Fig. 3(ii) and (iv)). This may suggest some kind of orientation in the faces of the granular LiFePO4/C nanoparticles. Hence, it is reasonable that problem of the low



Fig. 3 Nanoparticle morphology observations from TEM images and corresponding SAED patterns for LiFePO₄/C (panel (i) and (ii)) and LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT (panel (iii) and (iv)).

electronic conductivity of this potential cathode nanomaterial can be mitigated through embedding the cathode nanomaterials in MWCNTs to form a networked frame structure,²⁸ as the MWCNTs provide an easy conducting path for electrons.¹⁷ Eventually this networking with the conducting MWCNTs provides a copious supply of electrons at the electrochemical reaction sites, *i.e.* the Fe^{2+/3+} sites of the cathode.

3.2. Insights from solid state electrode and electrochemical cell impedance characteristics

Motivated by the clear evidence on the role of cathode configuration and its constituents in the enhanced electrochemical performance (as would be seen in the coming sections), solid state impedance studies were focused on the actual electrode used for cell fabrication. Detailed examination of the obtained impedance characteristics can be divided into two sections, the low and high frequency regions. At the high frequency end (0.1– 1 MHz), we observe a precise inductive loop with reverse curvature, identical in both cases (Fig. 4, left sub-panel), which is a clear attribute of inductive impedance. This may stem from the sole ferromagnetic iron (Fe) species with high spin becoming prominent at high frequencies, resulting in an identical pattern for both electrodes. However, at the low frequency end (1-1000 Hz) there is a substantial difference in the solid state electrode impedance characteristics between the cathodes made using the LiFePO4/C and the LiFePO4/C-MWCNT systems. From a simple glance at the impedance spectra given in Fig. 4a, a significant three-fold drop in ohmic resistance is observed for the networked system. From Fig. 4a, we find that the diameter of the semicircular impedance profile representing the ohmic resistance of the materials decreases from 2125 Ω for the pristine system to 800 Ω when networked with MWCNT. Obviously, the higher R and C values observed for the pristine LiFePO₄/C system are the result of the constrained flow of charge due to possible trapping at the numerous defect centers present in the inter- and intra-granular regions of the cathode particles. Furthermore it should be borne in mind that the drop in R value observed for the networked system will result in the minimization of undesirable localized Joule heating of the electrode. In order to explain the simple physical meaning of different components of the fitted impedance equivalent circuits, the emergence of various resistor, R, and capacitor, C, components can be pictured in terms of the pronounced difficulty for the flow of charge carrier in a given medium and localization, or accumulation of charge at a given point, respectively. The appearance of an inductance, L, component in a given equivalent circuit can be attributed to the presence of a magnetic species opposing the change, which is pronounced at high frequencies.

The entire impedance profiles could be reasonably fitted using simple equivalent circuits ($\chi^2 = 8.285 \times 10^{-3}$) with *R* and *C* parallel Vogit element constituents (Fig. 4a). However, there was significant residue observed only in the profile corresponding to the pristine LiFePO₄/C electrode remaining unfitted, *i.e.* the 'fuzzy' region of the impedance curve in the low frequency region (indicated using the shaded arrow in Fig. 4,

right sub-panel). This effect observed in earlier reports from other research groups^{29,30} in spite of the focus on extensive impedance studies of cathodes. These reports also present a fuzzy impedance profile at the low frequency end for the investigated electrodes. A cursory glance at the impedance profile corresponding to the LiFePO4/C cathode at the low frequency end suggests that the residue could not be fitted by a simple RC element, which is intriguing. This may suggest additional impedance components; in particular, the presence of non-linear residue is a clear indicator of a capacitor element which may stem from a kind of charge build-up. Accordingly, as depicted in the right sub-panel of Fig. 4, impedance fitting was attempted confined to the fuzzy region. The best fitted value $(\chi^2 = 8.488 \times 10^{-4})$ was possible only when using additional impedance components preceded by the "+" sign, namely ${}^{+}R_{1} =$ 2060 Ω , ${}^{+}R_{2} = 72.02 \Omega$, ${}^{+}C_{1} = 20.6 \times 10^{-9}$ F, and ${}^{+}C_{2} = 7.32 \times$ 10^{-6} F, offering high impedance value as given in Table 1(i). Accordingly, it is reasonable to expect that the high resistance observed would decrease the mobility of charge, while the increased capacitance value may be the result of the clear localization of charge. On the other hand, in the case of the LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT electrode, there is no such fuzzy impedance profile in the low frequency region, and the profile could be fitted using a simple RC combination (Table 1(ii)) with a good fit ($\chi^2 = 3.917 \times 10^{-2}$).

Alternatively this low-frequency fuzzy impedance profile can be seen to have an electronic origin, for the simple reason that when the electrode material is networked with MWCNT, a well established facilitator of electronic conductivity, this phenomenon disappears. That is, the electronic contribution which otherwise appears as charge build-up finds an easy path for relaxation rather than becoming trapped at the inter- and intragrain boundary regions of the granular electrode material (Fig. 4b). The charge mobility may be further enhanced in an electrode system made of nanograins/crystallites showing specific directionality, as the propensity to form a charge gradient is minimal in this scenario. From the fitted impedance parameters (Table 1 and Fig. 4a) for the entire frequency region and the logistics generated from them, we observe that there are numerous capacitor elements, of which the one with label C_2 indicates a several orders of magnitude higher charge storage ability, in addition to these capacitors acting as traps for the free flowing charge to achieve high electrochemical capacity. Networking the electrode material with MWCNT may help to overcome this bottleneck for the unhindered flow of charge. Furthermore the negative impedance components $L_1 = -0.98 \times$ 10^{-6} H and $C_4 = -5.28 \times 10^{-9}$ (Table 1(ii)) can be rationalized as the reversal of the charge flow direction/spin component. Furthermore, it is imperative to explain the origin of the capacitor elements acting as charge reservoirs or traps. The interfacial regions of the granules of the electrode act as a space charge layer, apart from the less conductive grain-core and the interior regions coupled with other electrostatic parameters, as can be visualized through the relation.31

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\kappa \varepsilon_0 R T / 2 C_\infty Z^2 F^2} \tag{1}$$



Fig. 4 Solid state impedance characteristics of the cathode systems: (a) comparison of the Nyquist impedance plots of (i) LiFePO₄/C + SP-carbon + PVdF and (ii) LiFePO₄/C - MWCNT + SP-carbon + PVdF electrodes with fitted equivalent circuits in the frequency range of 1 MHz to 1 Hz. The presence of inductance loop appearing in the high frequency region is shown in the left sub-panel. In the low frequency region, the fuzzy impedance profile fitted with additional circuits is shown in the right sub-panel (indicated by the + sign); (b) scheme of the various capacitor components (charge localization mechanisms) possible in the inter- and intra-grain boundary regions of the LiFePO₄/C electrode.

where κ is the dielectric constant of the medium, *Z* is the number of charges on the defect, C_{∞} is the molar concentration of the defect far from the interface and ε_0 , *R*, *T* and *F* are constants. This report gives clear experimental evidence of the localization of charge, resulting in low capacity *versus* high capacity through the enhanced mobility of charge, which may open up newer possibilities in the design of cathodes for Li-ion batteries.

Furthermore, to understand the mechanisms responsible for the capacity enhancement, electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the cells were also recorded for the cells fabricated with both types of cathode systems, as indicated in Fig. 5 in the complex plane impedance Nyquist plot. EIS data are more informative than ohmic resistance (R) based conductivity data, because the EIS data can reveal information on the movement and localization of charge carriers, their frequency dependence and relationship with other impedance components, namely capacitance (*C*) and inductance (*L*). Upon close scrutiny of the plot, there is an obvious increase in linear conductivity, with a ~30% decrease in ohmic resistance coupled with a pronounced decrease in capacitance, which is revealed by the depressed semicircle with ~50% drop in the *y*-axis value for the LiFePO₄/ C-MWCNT cells. The drop in capacitance observed is a clear indicator of the delocalization of charge owing to the easy conducting path for the free flow of charge. The drop in the electrode–electrolyte charge transfer resistance (*R*_{ct}) suggests a significant increase in conductivity, which can be rationalized by the enhanced conductivity of the electrode when networked

Table 1	Solid state impedance (fitted)	characteristics of LiFePO ₄ /C	+ SP-carbon + PVdF vs	. LiFePO ₄ /C–MWCNT +	SP-carbon + PVdF cathode systems
---------	--------------------------------	---	-----------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Sample no.	Electrode system	Impedance components: L (H), C (F), R (Ω)	Phase element (ϕ)	Remarks
(i)	LiFePO ₄ /C + SP-carbon + PVdF	$L_1 = 17.28 \times 10^{-6}, L_4 = 208 \ imes 10^{-6}, C_1 = 31.29 \times 10^{-12}, \ C_5 = 9.5 \times 10^{-12}, R_1 = -945, \ R_2 = 10.2 \times 10^6, R_3 = 14.07 \ imes 10^2, R_4 = 30.79 \times 10^2, R_5 = 13.876 \times 10^3, \ ^+C_1 = 20.6 \times 10^{-9}, \ ^+C_2 = 7.32 \times 10^{-6}, \ ^+R_5 = -2060, \ ^+R_5 = -72.02$	$Q_3 = 20.91 \times 10^{-9}, a_3 = 0.92$	Several capacitor elements – multiple localization of charge; 3-fold increase in capacitance, ${}^+C_2$ pronounced charge build-up, high ohmic resistance – restricted charge flow.
(ii)	LiFePO ₄ /C-MWCNT + SP- carbon + PVdF	$\begin{split} & K_1 = -0.98 \times 10^{-6}, L_3 = 1.36 \\ & \times 10^{-3}, C_4 = -5.28 \times 10^{-9}, \\ & R_1 = 6.24, R_2 = 590.5, \\ & R_3 = 21.07, R_4 = 189.8 \end{split}$	$Q_1 = 95.51 \times 10^{-9}, a_1 = 0.89$	Low ohmic resistance – facilitates charge flow; single capacitor with low negative value, free flow of charge/ release.

^a SP-carbon: super carbon, PVdF: polyvinylidene fluoride.



Fig. 5 Electrochemical impedance spectra of (i) Li vs. LiFePO₄/C and (ii) Li vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT cells with the fitted equivalent circuit in the frequency range of 100 kHz to 5 mHz (1 M LiPF₆ in 1 : 1 EC–DMC solvents).

with MWCNT, while the decrease in electrostatic capacitance is more intriguing, that is, the decreased localization of electrostatic charge in the networked electrode material as a result of the increased charge mobility in the presence of easy conducting pathways, which could result in the electrochemical capacity enhancement as observed. Apart from these electrochemical impedance components, it can be seen that at the high frequency end the electrolyte offers a solution resistance (R_s) , while at the low frequency end the observed slanted line profile can be attributed to the diffusion of Li⁺ ions through a Warburg process (Z_w) . Summing up the results, the electrochemical capacity enhancement can be solely attributed to the different cathodes in the two cases, rather than from the other identical constituents.

3.3. Electrochemical performance of Li-ion half cells

For eventual application of any electrochemical materials synthesized, charge-discharge studies hold the key to

determining their feasibility. With this objective in mind, charge-discharge studies have been carried out for the Li-ion cells. Using the charge-discharge voltage profile study, it is possible to infer a flat cell voltage of 3.4 V for the LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT at a C/10 rate after 50 cycles (Fig. 6). These results indicate that the synthesized sample exhibits superior target phase formation, in agreement with the structural parameters deduced by XRD. It is pertinent to highlight here the importance of correlating particle size versus phase separation in the LiFePO₄ system, to eventually determine the electrochemical performance.³²⁻³⁴ Ichitsubo et al. have recently demonstrated that smaller particles (<10 nm) exhibit a single phase reaction, while larger particles (10-30 nm) exhibit phase separation to LiFePO₄ and FePO₄ phases, indicated by the prominent cell voltage plateau in the voltage vs. capacity profile.32 Hence it is reasonable that the cathode particles in the present study with sizes around 20 nm exhibiting a cell plateau region also show a phase separated regime.



Fig. 6 Voltage vs. capacity profiles of a Li vs. LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT cell at C/10.

More importantly, the LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT electrode delivered an initial discharge capacity of 163 mA h g^{-1} with an irreversible capacity loss of 2 mA during the 1st cycle. The charge-discharge capacities at the 50th cycle remained at the same value of 157 mA h g^{-1} , thereby confirming the complete Coulombic efficiency of this unique electrochemical system. The capacity retention at the 50th cycle is about 96%. Inspection of Fig. 7 and 8 suggests a pronounced increase in capacity with good retention for the cell employing LiFePO4/C-MWCNT (yielding 163 and 145 mA h g⁻¹ capacity) as compared to the lower capacity (125 and 92 mA h g^{-1}) observed with the pristine system at different rates (C/10 and 1 C for the 1st cycle). In view of the impressive rate capability results of the LiFePO4/C-MWCNT cathode system, investigations were further extended to 2 C (135 mA h g^{-1}), 3 C (121 mA h g^{-1}) and 5 C (103 mA h g^{-1}), at which the system showed remarkable stability after 50 cycles. The decrease in capacity at higher C-rate can be rationalized in terms of limitations stemming from the poor electronic and ionic conductivities of this LiFePO4/C-MWCNT system, impeding the free flow of charge.

3.4. Features and performance of Li-ion full cells

The application potential of the networked cathode materials encompasses a range of cell voltages, safety issues and so on. In terms of cell voltage, MCMB vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT offers a cell voltage of ~ 3 V, while the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT couple provides a voltage of about ~ 2 V, so the scope for the application of these systems is broad. Bearing these important issues in mind, two kinds of Li-ion full cells, using MCMB and Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ as anodes, have been fabricated as discussed below. Electrochemical studies of the Li-ion full cells, MCMB vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT and Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT, made in our lab exhibited open circuit voltages of 0.2 and 0.37 V respectively for these systems. After an aging period of 24 h, these cells were used for further electrochemical studies. In order to evaluate the electrochemical performance of the first kind of Li-ion full cell (MCMB vs. LiFePO4/C-MWCNT), it is essential to pass through a formation cycle process at a low



Fig. 7 Capacity vs. cycle performance of the Li vs. LiFePO₄/C cell at different rates: C/10 and 1 C in the voltage range from 2.5 to 4.5 V.



Fig. 8 Capacity vs. cycle performance of the Li vs. LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT cell at different rates: C/10, 1 C, 2 C, 3 C and 5 C in the voltage range from 2.5 to 4.5 V.

current rate of C/25 for the 1st cycle in constant current mode. The formation cycle is essential for stabilization of the active materials through solid electrolyte interface (SEI) film formation in the cell.^{35,36} The SEI film has the intrinsic advantage of preventing further chemical reaction between the electrolyte and the active materials. The life and discharge performance of the Li-ion full cell are highly dependent on the SEI formed over the carbon electrode (MCMB) during the formation process. Hence, it is expected that the applied low current can produce a denser SEI layer, which is advantageous for improving the cyclability of the Li-ion full cell. The cell voltage *vs.* cell capacity performance of the Li-ion full cell (MCMB *vs.* LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT) is shown in Fig. 9. There is a pronounced slope in the cell voltage of cell due to the MCMB anode, which may lead to a drop in capacity over several cycles.

On the other hand, in the case of the $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ vs. LiFePO₄/ C-MWCNT full cell, after 24 h aging the charge-discharge studies can be carried out directly as there is no SEI film formation.³⁷ The cell voltage vs. cell capacity performance of the



Fig. 9 Cell voltage vs. capacity performance of the MCMB vs. LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT Li-ion full cell in the voltage range from 2.5 to 4.5 V at C/10.



 $Fig. \,\, 10$ $\,$ Cell voltage vs. capacity performance of the $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ vs. $LiFePO_4/C-MWCNT$ Li-ion full cell in the voltage range from 1.0 to 2.5 V at C/10.



Fig. 11 Cell capacity vs. cycle performance of the MCMB vs. LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT and Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ vs. LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT Li-ion cells in the voltage range 2.5–4.5 V, 1.0–2.5 V, up to 100 cycles at C/10.

Li-ion full cell (Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ *vs.* LiFePO₄/C–MWCNT) is shown in Fig. 10. Obviously, in this system the discharge voltage profile at 1.85 V is reasonably flat over several cycles, suggesting the remarkable cycling stability of the second kind of full cell. Comparing the consolidated cell performance of these two kinds of full cells (Fig. 11), the MCMB carbon-based full cell appears to be marginally inferior (~8% lower capacity) to the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂-based full cell in terms of cell capacity. These Li-ion full cells (MCMB carbon and Li₄Ti₅O₁₂) delivered discharge capacities of 1.94 and 2.1 mA h (1st cycle) and 1.78 and 2.0 mA h (100th cycle), respectively. Owing to the impressive capacity retention, cycling studies were limited to 100 cycles.

4. Conclusions

Small particles of olivine $LiFePO_4/C$ of around 20 nm in size have been synthesized employing sol-gel route and using adipic acid as a chelating agent. To achieve high electrochemical capacity for use in Li-ion batteries, the as-synthesized cathode materials were networked with MWCNTs. The study based on the solid state impedance characteristics of the olivine LiFePO₄/ C cathode illustrated the importance of a highly electronic conducting path, realized through the networking of the cathode material with MWCNTs. In the absence of an efficient conducting path the charge is localized/trapped at the interand intra-grain boundaries and the core regions of the cathode particles, eventually resulting in low capacity. Also, the study based on the complete electrode systems may be helpful for optimizing the cell performances of electrode materials. For reasons of completeness encompassing safety, cell voltage etc., the thus-synthesized networked cathode materials have been used in the fabrication of MCMB vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT and Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ vs. LiFePO₄/C-MWCNT full cells, and exhibited discharge capacities of 1.94 and 2.1 mA h, respectively.

Acknowledgements

P. Manikandan acknowledges the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi for granting financial support for the above work in the form of a senior research fellowship. The authors also wish to thank the Director of CSIR-CECRI for the support.

References

- 1 A. K. Pathi, K. S. Nanjundaswamy and J. B. Goodenough, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1997, **144**, 1188–1194.
- 2 A. K. Pathi, K. S. Nanjundaswamy and J. B. Goodenough, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1997, 144, 1609–1613.
- 3 Q. Huang, M. Yan and Z. Jiang, *J. Power Sources*, 2006, **156**, 541–546.
- 4 C. Delacourt, L. Laffont, R. Bouchet, C. Wurm, J. B. Leriche, M. Morcette, J. M. Tarascon and C. J. Masquelier, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2005, **152**, A913–A921.
- 5 M. Yonemura, A. Yamada, Y. Takei, N. Sonoyama and R. Kanno, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2004, **151**, A1352–A1356.
- 6 R. Amin, P. Balaya and J. Maier, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2007, **10**, A13–A16.
- 7 R. Amin, C. T. Lin and J. Maier, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2008, 10, 3519–3523.
- 8 R. Dominko, M. Bele, M. Gaberscek, M. Remskar, D. Hanzel and J. Jamnik, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2005, **152**, A858–A863.
- 9 Y. Wang, Y. Wang, E. Hosono, K. Wang and H. Zhou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 7461–7465.
- 10 S. Y. Chung, J. T. Bloking and Y. M. Chiang, *Nat. Mater.*, 2002, 1, 123–128.
- 11 I. Bilecka, A. Hintennach, M. D. Rossell, D. Xie, P. Novak and M. Niederberger, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 5881–5890.
- 12 A. Yamada, S. C. Chung and K. Hinokuma, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2001, **148**, A224–A229.
- 13 S. F. Yang, P. Y. Zavalij and M. S. Whittingham, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2001, **3**, 505–508.
- 14 S. F. Yang, Y. N. Song, P. Y. Zavalij and M. S. Whittingham, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2002, 4, 239–244.
- 15 J. Wang and X. Sun, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 5163-5185.

- 16 M. Gaberscek, R. Dominko and J. Jamnik, *Electrochem.* Commun., 2007, **9**, 2778–2783.
 - 17 D. H. Kim and J. Kim, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2006, 9, A439–A442.
 - 18 C. H. Yong, Z. H. Li, Z. Wei, Z. J. Li and L. Q. Feng, *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China*, 2010, **20**, 614–618.
 - 19 S. B. Lee, S. H. Cho, S. J. Cho, G. J. Park and Y. S. Lee, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2008, **10**, 1219–1221.
 - 20 A. Vajpayee, V. P. S. Awana, G. L. Bhalla, P. A. Bhobe,
 A. K. Nigam and H. Kishan, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, 2009, 22, 15016.
 - 21 G. T. K. Fey, J. G. Chen, Z. F. Wang, H. Z. Yang and T. Prem Kumar, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, **87**, 246–255.
 - 22 B. Jin, E. M. Jin, K. H. Park and H. B. Gu, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2008, **10**, 1537–1540.
 - 23 J. Yang, J. Wang, Y. Tang, D. Wang, B. Xiao, X. Li, R. Li, G. Liang, T. K. Sham and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 7306–7311.
 - 24 J. Yang, J. Wang, X. Li, D. Wang, J. Liu, G. Liang, M. Gauthier, Y. Li, D. Geng, R. Li and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 7537–7543.
 - 25 Y. Ding, Y. Jiang, F. Xu, J. Yin, H. Ren, Q. Zhuo, Z. Long and P. Zhang, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2010, 12, 10-13.

- 26 B. Marinho, M. Ghislandi, E. Tkalya, C. E. Koning and G. D. With, *Powder Technol.*, 2012, 221, 351–358.
- 27 A. S. Prakash, P. Manikandan, K. Ramesha, M. Sathiya, J. M. Tarascon and A. K. Shukla, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 2857–2863.
- 28 T. Muraliganth, A. V. Murugan and A. Manthiram, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, **18**, 5661–5668.
- 29 J. J. Biendicho and A. R. West, *Solid State Ionics*, 2012, 226, 41–52.
- 30 C. Wang and J. Hong, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2007, 10, A65–A69.
- 31 J. Maier, Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem., 1986, 90, 26-33.
- 32 T. Ichitsubo, T. Doi, K. Tokuda, E. Matsubara, T. Kida, T. Kawaguchi, S. Yagi, S. Okada and J. Yamaki, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, DOI: 10.1039/c3ta13122j.
- 33 T. Ichitsubo, K. Tokuda, S. Yagi, M. Kawamori, T. Kawaguchi, T. Doi, M. Oishi and E. Matsubara, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 2567–2577.
- 34 R. Malik, A. Abdellahi and G. Ceder, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2013, **160**, A3179–A3197.
- 35 S. S. Zhang, J. Power Sources, 2006, 161, 1385-1391.
- 36 H. H. Lee, Y. Y. Wang, C. C. Wan, M. H. Yang, H. C. Wu and D. T. Shieh, *J. Power Sources*, 2004, **134**, 118–123.
- 37 A. S. Aric, P. Bruce, B. Scrosati, J. M. Tarascon and W. V. Schalkwijk, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, 4, 366.